PEPPER GUIDE 7:

PEPANALYSES

Overview

As indicated in the PEPPER <u>flowchart</u>, the initial procedural step is to determine if one or more PepAnalyses outputs (i.e., from PepAssess or PepClass) might inform your specific educational, clinical, or research question or need. The focus in this guide is on that determination: which one or more of the dozens of PEPPER outputs might be helpful in your work? Each of the following three sections provides a different perspective on PepAnalyses options.

Section I

The first section of this guide is a slightly edited copy of Chapter 7 from the original PEPPER (1986) manual. Before approximately 1990, PEPPER did not include outputs that required users to complete prosody-voice coding and/or acoustic analyses. Because the computations in present PepAssess outputs that require only phonetic transcriptions have not changed from their original development, it was efficient to scan the information in this section from the 1986 text, reformat the text to be consistent with the present set of PEPPER Guides, and slightly update the text. Importantly, each of the sample outputs from the PepAssess tab in PepAnalyses can be completed using only broad or narrow phonetic transcription. All reference data (see Section III below) were obtained using narrow phonetic transcription.

Section II

The second section of this guide includes a table with references to some research that has used PepAssess and PepClass outputs. The reports have used finalized or nearly finalized versions of the Speech Disorders Classification System (Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, & Mabie, 2019). Some of the PepAssess data and all of the PepClass data were obtained from measures that require narrow phonetic transcription, prosody-voice coding, and acoustic analyses.

Section III

The last section of this guide contains the Tables of Contents from ten Phonology Project Technical Reports. These reports provide standardized reference data for measures in the PepAssess and PepClass outputs. The reference data include statistical information for typical speakers, speakers with idiopathic speech delay, and speakers with speech delay in the context of complex neurodevelopmental disorders. The page numbers in each table of contents should be helpful to locate within each reference, information by measure, age, and sex (see RESEARCH > TECHNICAL REPORTS on the Phonology Project website:

https://phonology.waisman.wisc.edu/publications-and-presentations/technical-reports/).

SECTION I:

SOME BASIC PEPASSESS OUTPUTS

PEPPER_PepAnalyses tab:
PepAssess > Analyses > Phoneme Analyses: Structural Statistics

STRUCTURAL STATISTICS

Filename	Group	SPEECHDE	LAY
Study Iden	tification	MADSD	
DOB _*			
Age at Sam	pling Date	0 vrs	0 mos

Sampling Date _*
Sampling Clinician _*
Pepfile Entry Date _*
Transcriber _*

TYPE	CANONICAL FORM	INTENI	DED (Y)	OBTAINED (Z)		OBTAIN	ED=INTENDED
		n	%	n	%	n	%
1 2	V CV	706 1974	9.01 25.18	915 2303	11.67 29.38	703 1913	99.58 96.91
3	VC VC	1035	13.20	934	11.92	879	84.93
4 5	CVC CnV	2008 119	25.62 1.52	1672 89	21.33 1.14	1561 71	77.74 59.66
6	CnVC	151	1.93	76	0.97	59	39.07
7 8	VCn C(n)VCn	90 330	1.15 4.21	73 236	0.93 3.01	70 206	77.78 62.42
9	2-Syllable	1176	15.00	1286	16.41	924	78.57
10	3+-Syllable	170	2.17	172	2.19	72	42.35
0	No Vowel	79	1.01	82	1.05	78	98.73
		7838		7838			

Average Words / Utterance	Type-Token Percentage
9014 / 2647 = 3.41	1001 / 6058 = 16.52

Notes:		 	 	 	 	 	 	 	
	-		 		 	 ,	 	 	

Description

The data in the sample Structural Statistics output and each of the other sample outputs in Section I were obtained from a group of 28 children, 3-6 years of age, with moderate to severe Speech Delay (SD) of unknown (idiopathic) origin (McSweeny et al., 2012). The Structural Statistics output is based on information in the Y and Z lines of each utterance in a Pepfile. The numbers and percentages of the ten word types (canonical forms) intended by the speaker are taken from the Y line and the numbers and percentages of word types obtained are taken from the Z line. The last two columns in the output are the numbers and percentages of words in which the word types in Y and Z match, i.e. Obtained=Intended. Hence, a child who says [d∧g] for the intended word dog produces the same word form (CVC) although the obtained vowel differed from the intended vowel.

Computational Notes

In all of the PepAssess outputs, it is important to know which words are included in the computations and which are excluded. For the Structural Statistics output, the only words in the Y or Z lines removed from consideration are words represented by or containing one or more asterisks. All other words in Y or Z are included, even those that are questionable, that is, a disregard, either/or, or an unsure in X or an unsure in Z. As long as words are represented entirely-by consonant and vowel/diphthong segments in both the Y and Z lines, their canonical forms will be included in each analysis. The reason all words are included is that the results of these analyses are meant to reflect structurallevel speech information. Disregards, either/ors or unsures are removed from the phonetic/phonologic analyses because they may affect reliability and validity. In contrast, the reliability and validity of Structural Statistics requires that computations be based on all words the speaker intended within each utterance. That is why you should always enter a phonetic transcription in Y and Z, reserving asterisks only for completely unintelligible words. Sometimes a Structural Statistics output will include data on the number of words that do not contain at least one vowel or syllabic consonant. This information is printed at the bottom of the word form section of the output.

Word Types: Intended, Obtained, and Obtained = Intended

A speaker's percentage of occurrence of each Intended word type (Y line) may be interpreted as reflecting two alternative types of information. One interpretation is that the Intended Word Type percentages may indicate whether the speech sample is structurally representative of continuous speech. For example, if a speaker's percentage of CVC words is much higher than the approximately 30% expected (see Section III), perhaps there may be a problem related to the method used for speech sampling. The reference data for word types (see Section III) should provide a general guide to expected percentages. Speaker values above or below one standard deviation from the mean of typical speakers may be suspect. The source of any differences could be traced to a high frequency of occurrence of questionable words of a certain form. Or perhaps a large section of the transcript includes repetitive, non-questionable forms, such as those that occur with repeated use of a proper noun. If the percentages of intended forms do look

too high or too low, it is useful to inspect the transcript to see if the source of the differences can be identified before proceeding to the phonetic or phonologic analyses. Later, in the discussion of the Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC) analysis, we will see that the PCC itself includes helpful quantitative information for decisions about the representativeness of a speech sample (see following discussion of the PCC Word Summary output).

A second interpretation of structural statistics data taken from the Y line is that they accurately reflect phonologic information about the speaker. It is not some lexical bias in a particular speech sample as above, but rather that the speaker is selectively producing or avoiding certain word forms. For example, children who have lowered proportions of multi-syllable words could be avoiding such word forms. Moreover, a comparison of the actual forms used in Z to the intended forms indicated in Y might suggest a pattern of word form substitutions. For example, if the proportion of obtained CVCs to intended CVCs is low when compared to the proportion of obtained versus intended CVs, the speaker may be substituting CV forms for CVCs.

Especially for severely involved children, data on word forms can be informative and useful. Descriptively, such data allow for statements about level or stage of phonologic development. These structural phonologic data also have been used to determine which of the ten word forms should and should not be used in constructing stimuli for management programming. Relevant issues have been discussed in the clinical literature on management of children with phonologic disorders.

Average Words per Utterance (AWU)

The Average Words per Utterance section of the Structural Statistics analysis consists of three numbers that describe the Pepfile transcript: total number of words, total number of utterances, and total number of words divided by total number of utterances. Pepfile entries for this section of Structural Statistics are taken exclusively from the Y line. All words entered in the Y line are used, even those represented in part or whole by an asterisk, i.e. unintelligibles. The rationale here is that whether or not the word was intelligible or questionable, it was a word intended by the speaker. In the Percentage of Consonants Correct (PCC) output described later, information is provided that quantifies each of the questionable word categories included as 'words' in the AWU calculation. When computed for a grouped file, the Average Words per Utterance reflects the total of the average values divided by the number of Pepfiles in the group. Hence, each Pepfile contributes equally to the group average.

The AWU provides a measure of average total 'words' per utterance, even if some words were unintelligible to the transcriber. In previous work with this metric, it has correlated highly (high .90's) with Mean Length of Utterance (MLU). However, the high positive relationship between the two indices depends on how frequently AWU includes words that would not be included in an MLU count. As a structural statistic, AWU reflects the sampling context and the nature of the speech sample. For serial study of the same child, for example, you may want to require that samples have comparable AWUs before

proceeding to inspect the results of other analyses. Children with intelligibility problems may deliberately reduce their utterance length to help listeners understand them. Therefore, as with the other structural statistics, AWU may reflect either something about a particular sampling context or something stable about the speaker.

Type-Token Percentage (TTP)

The Type-Token Percentage (TTP) describes the percentage of word types in the speech sample. Following conventional use of these terms, a word type is a specific lexical item, whereas tokens, including all repetitions of word types, are all words in a sample. The first occurrence of a non-questionable word in the X line is considered a word type, and all non-questionable words are considered word tokens. Note that the program cannot differentiate words on the basis of their constituent morphemes, so cat and cats would each be considered a word type the first time they occurred in the sample. The program does ignore case, however, so the words Cat and cat are considered the same word type. A grouped file percentage, as with Average Words per Utterance, is calculated by summing the individual percentages and dividing by the number of Pepfiles in the grouped file. Hence, each Pepfile contributes equally to the group average percentage. As with the other Structural Statistics output, TTP can also be used to qualify a speech sample for further analysis. Does the TTP obtained suggest that the sample is biased--or does it reflect something about the speaker's typical distribution of word types? If the percentage of word types is too low, the sample might be unrepresentative either due to many word repetitions or because the sample continued too long on the same topic. Recall that the "90-70-225 rule" (PG2) for speech sampling was derived to obtain samples that were neither too short nor too long. In conjunction with the Word Lists output discussed later, you can guickly determine the source of a type-token percentage that appears to be either too low or too high.

PEPPER_PepAnalyses tab: PepAssess > Analyses > Phoneme Analyses > Vowel/Diphthong Analyses

The outputs that comprise the Phoneme Analyses options in PepAssess provide comprehensive summaries of a speaker's speech errors. The error categories are those typically used in describing the articulation of speech sounds with reference to a normative standard. The outputs include separate tabulations for correct sounds by error types, error positions, and phonetic features. Other PepAssess outputs provide word lists aggregated by user interests.

In the following heading and all other headings in this section, we use the current titles found within the PEPPER menu.

Vowel/Diphthong Analyses_Phonemes

			PHO	NEME AN	ALYSIS	: VOWE	LS			Page: 1
Filename		ıp SP	EECHDELA	Υ		ling Da		*		
Date of		*	•	. 0			linician	*		
	ampling Dat			0 mos		ysis Da				
Sound	Position In Word	Co: N	rrect %	Dele N	tion %	Subst N	itution %	Dist	ortion %	Total Sounds
	Initial		100.00	14	-6		-6	14		24
	Medial	170	93.92			5	2.76	6	3.31	181
	Final	278	95.53			5	1.72	8	2.75	291
	Total	472	95.33			10	2.02	14	2.75	496
	Initial	272	93.79	2	0.69	14	4.83	2	0.69	290
	Medial	693	93.15	6	0.81	39	5.24	6	0.81	744
I	Final	247	95.74	0	0.01	9	3.49	2	0.78	258
	Total	1212	93.81	8	0.62	62	4.80	10	0.73	1292
	Initial	1212	93.01	0	0.02	02	4.00	10	0.77	1232
_	Medial									
e	Final									
	Total									
	Initial	99	99.00			1	1.00			100
	Medial	401	89.71	1	0.22	40	8.95	5	1.12	447
3	Final		100.00		0.22		0.33			8
	Total	508	91.53	1	0.18	41	7.39	5	0.90	555
	Initial	230	89.84		0.10	26	10.16		0.30	256
	Medial	322	92.00			28	8.00			350
æ	Final	97	97.00				0.00	3	3.00	100
	Total	649	91.93			54	7.65	3	0.42	706
	Initial									
_	Medial									
3	Final									
	Total									
	Initial	1	33.33					2	66.67	3
I ~	Medial	19	25.00			17	22.37	40	52.63	76
3	Final	14	29.17			9	18.75	25	52.08	48
	Total	34	26.77			26	20.47	67	52.76	127
	Initial	231	96.65	3	1.26	4	1.67	1	0.42	239
I _	Medial	90	90.00	5	5.00	5	5.00			100
 	Final	161	95.83			7	4.17			168
	Total	482	95.07	8	1.58	16	3.16	1	0.20	507
	Initial							1	100.00	1
I ~	Medial	11	20.75	2	3.77	14	26.42	26	49.06	53
)	Final	32	18.50	1	0.58	104	60.12	36	20.81	173
	Total	43	18.94	3	1.32	118	51.98	63	27.75	227
	Initial	59	86.76			7	10.29	2	2.94	68
1 ,	Medial	474	92.76	2	0.39	32	6.26	3	0.59	511
^	Final	60	96.77			2	3.23			62
	Total	593	92.51	2	0.31	41	6.40	5	0.78	641
	Initial									
 	Medial									
l a	Final									
	Total									

ARTIC TE	ST:		PHC	NEME AI	NALYSIS	: VOWE	LS			Page: 2
Filename	Grou	_	EECHDELA	ΔY		ling Da		*		
Date of 1	Birth ampling Dat	*	0 1770	0 mos		ling Cl ysis Da	linician	*		
			_					Di ata		mat al
Sound	Position In Word	N CO	rrect %	N Dere	etion %	N	itution %	DISTO N	ortion %	Total Sounds
	Initial		100.00		-		•		-	1
	Medial	112	78.87			29	20.42	1	0.70	142
u	Final	201	92.63			8	3.69	8	3.69	217
	Total	314	87.22			37	10.28	9	2.50	360
	Initial					<u> </u>				
١	Medial	35	77.78			10	22.22			45
υ	Final		100.00							13
	Total	48	82.76			10	17.24			58
	Initial		100.00							1
	Medial		100.00							4
0	Final		100.00							1
	Total		100.00							6
	Initial	40	88.89			5	11.11			45
	Medial	173	90.10			18	9.38	1	0.52	192
ာ	Final	14	100.00							14
	Total	227	90.44			23	9.16	1	0.40	251
	Initial									
	Medial									
D	Final									
	Total									
	Initial	71	94.67			3	4.00	1	1.33	75
	Medial	296	93.38			19	5.99	2	0.63	317
	Final	6	100.00							6
	Total	373	93.72			22	5.53	3	0.75	398
	Initial	310	93.09			21	6.31	2	0.60	333
<u>aı</u>	Medial	274	92.57			22	7.43			296
l ^u	Final	178	95.19			6	3.21	3	1.60	187
	Total	762	93.38			49	6.00	5	0.61	816
	Initial	37	84.09			7	15.91			44
αv	Medial	54	90.00			5	8.33	1	1.67	60
"	Final	23	85.19			4	14.81			27
	Total	114	87.02			16	12.21	1	0.76	131
	Initial	15	93.75				<u></u>	1	6.25	16
<u>eī</u>	Medial	124	91.18			12	8.82			136
l ~:	Final	130	89.66			12	8.28	3	2.07	145
	Total	269	90.57			24	8.08	4	1.35	297
	Initial	52	100.00							52
ου	Medial	139	95.21			7	4.79			146
	Final	204	90.27	1	0.44	19	8.41	2	0.88	226
	Total	395	93.16	1	0.24	26	6.13	2	0.47	424
	Initial									
ΣĪ	Medial	14	93.33			1	6.67			15
••	Final	5	55.56			3	33.33	1	11.11	9
	Total	19	79.17			4	16.67	1	4.17	24

ARTIC TES	3T •	PHC	ONEME ANALYSIS	· VOWELS		Page: 3
				ling Date	*	rage.
Filename Date of E	<u>Grou</u> Birth	up SPEECHDELA *		ling Date ling Clinician	*	
	ampling Dat	te 0 yrs		ysis Date _		
Sound	Position In Word	Correct N %	Deletion N %	Substitution N %	Distortion N %	Total Sounds
m-5-1	Initial	1443 93.22	5 0.32	88 5.68	12 0.78	1548
Total Row %	Medial	3405 89.25	16 0.42	303 7.94	91 2.39	3815
ROW 6	Final	1672 85.61	2 0.10	188 9.63	91 4.66	1953
	Total	6520 89.12	23 0.31	579 7.91	194 2.65	7316
Total	Initial	1443 22.13	5 21.74	88 15.20	12 6.19	1548
Col %	Medial	3405 52.22	16 69.57	303 52.33	91 46.91	3815
	Final	1672 25.64	2 8.70	188 32.47	91 46.91	1953
	Total	6520 100.00	23 100.00	579 100.00	194 100.00	7316
Total	Initial	1443 19.72	5 0.07	88 1.20	12 0.16	1548
Sum %	Medial	3405 46.54	16 0.22	303 4.14	91 1.24	3815
	Final	1672 22.85	2 0.03	188 2.57	91 1.24	1953
	Total	6520 89.12	23 0.31	579 7.91	194 2.65	7316
Notes:						

The format for the Phoneme Analysis: Vowels is similar to that for the Phoneme Analysis: Consonants. The twelve vowels are sequenced by place (front, mid, back), with vowel height arranged from high to low within each class. The five diphthongs are not ordered by place. Totals for each vowel and diphthong are given in percentages in the same way as described for consonants. Also, the three summary totals are percentaged by row, by column, and for each row x column cell. Initial and final vowels or diphthongs must be the first and last segment in the word, respectively. All other vowels or diphthongs are medial. Only sounds in non-questionable words are entered into the calculations.

Vowel/Diphthong Analyses_Features

			FEATUR	RE AN	ALYSIS	: VOWE	LS			Page:	1
Filename	Grou	ıp SPEECH	DELAY			ling Da		*			
Date of 1	Birth ampling Dat	*	yrs 0	mog		ling Cl ysis Da	linician	*			
	Position			Dele				Di at		mot ol	
Feature	In Word	Correc N %		м	% %	N	itution %	N	ortion %	Total Sound	
Height	Initial	25 100.	00							25	
HIGH	Medial	282 87.	31			34	10.53	7	2.17	323	
nign	Final	479 94.	29			13	2.56	16	3.15	508	
	Total	786 91.	82			47	5.49	23	2.69	856	
	Initial	770 94.	48	5	0.61	31	3.80	9	1.10	815	
MIDDLE	Medial	2177 88.	17	16	0.65	195	7.90	81	3.28	2469	
МІООПЕ	Final	889 79.	02	2	0.18	165	14.67	69	6.13	1125	
	Total	3836 87.	00	23	0.52	391	8.87	159	3.61	4409	
	Initial	648 91.	53			57	8.05	3	0.42	708	
LOW	Medial	946 92.	47			74	7.23	3	0.29	1023	
TOM	Final	304 95.	00			10	3.13	6	1.88	320	
	Total	1898 92.	54			141	6.87	12	0.59	2051	
Place	Initial	640 93.	29	2	0.29	41	5.98	3	0.44	686	
FRONT	Medial	1710 92.	03	7	0.38	124	6.67	17	0.91	1858	
FRONI	Final	760 94.	76			26	3.24	16	2.00	802	
	Total	3110 92.	95	9	0.27	191	5.71	36	1.08	3346	
	Initial	291 93.	57	3	0.96	11	3.54	6	1.93	311	
CENTED AT	Medial	594 80.	27	9	1.22	68	9.19	69	9.32	740	
CENTRAL	Final	267 59.	20	1	0.22	122	27.05	61	13.53	451	
	Total	1152 76.	70	13	0.87	201	13.38	136	9.05	1502	
	Initial	512 92.	92			36	6.53	3	0.54	551	
DACE	Medial	1101 90.	47			111	9.12	5	0.41	1217	
BACK	Final	645 92.	14	1	0.14	40	5.71	14	2.00	700	
	Total	2258 91.	49	1	0.04	187	7.58	22	0.89	2468	
Substitu	tion Summa	ry (In dec	reasing	perc	entag	e of oc	currence	∍)			
	and Across			tal	ence	Total Possi		Perce	_		
Middle	-> Mid	dle		314		4409		7.1			
Central	-> Bac			89		1502		5.9			
High	-> Mid			46		856		5.3			
Low	-> Mid			84		2051		4.1			
Central	-> Cen			55		1502		3.6			
Back	-> Bac			89		2468		3.63			
Front	-> Fro			104		3346		3.1			
Central	-> Fro			46		1502		3.0			
Low	-> Low			50		2051		2.4			
Back	-> Cen			51		2468		2.0			
Back	-> Fro			38		2468		1.5			
Front	-> Cen			49		3346		1.40			
Front	-> Bac			33		3346		0.9			
Middle	-> Low			39		4409		0.88			
Middle Middle	-> How -> Hig			21		4409		0.48			
Vowel	_	chronic		17		7316		0.2			
Vowel	_	sonant		8		7316		0.1			
vower	-> con	sonant		ď		/316		U.I.	TQ		

ARTIC TEST:		FEATURE AND	ALYSIS: VOWELS		Page:	2
Filename	Group	SPEECHDELAY	Sampling Date	*		
Date of Birth	*		Sampling Clinician	*		
Age at Sampling	T Date	0 vrs 0 mos	Analysis Date			

			Obtained						
	Height	HIGH		MIDDLE		LOW			
þ	HIGH			46 47 856	of = % 97.87 5.37				
Intended	MIDDLE	21 391 4409	of = % 5.37 0.48	314 391 4409	of = % 80.31 7.12	39 391 4409	of = % 9.97 0.88		
н	LOW			84 141 2051	of = % 59.57 4.10	50 141 2051	of = % 35.46 2.44		

Г			Obtained						
	Place	FRO	NT	CEN	TRAL	BACK			
þ	FRONT	104 191 3346	of = % 54.45 3.11	49 191 3346	of = % 25.65 1.46	33 191 3346	of = % 17.28 0.99		
Intended	CENTRAL	46 201 1502	of = % 22.89 3.06	55 201 1502	of = % 27.36 3.66	89 201 1502	of = % 44.28 5.93		
H	BACK	38 187 2468	of = % 20.32 1.54	51 187 2468	of = % 27.27 2.07	89 187 2468	of = % 47.59 3.61		

			Obta	ined	
pep	Other	Con na		Tie	
Inten	Vowel	8 579 7316	of = % 1.38 0.11	17 579 7316	of = % 2.94 0.23

The format for the Feature Analyses: Vowel is similar to that used in Feature Analysis: Consonants. As in the Feature Analysis: Consonants, only sound substitution errors are included, with summaries provided for each substitution as tabulated by feature class. Substitutions of diphthongs or vowels are printed to the right of the arrow. Place-height description of the 16 vowels are computed and displayed. The computer program calculates the percentage of occurrence of vowel feature substitutions for all non-questionable sounds and provides a ranked list of all feature substitutions that occurred at least once.

PEPPER_PepAnalyses tab: PepAssess > Analyses > Phoneme Analyses > Consonant Analyses

Percentage Consonants Correct and Percentage of Consonants Correct-Split

Child <u>Group</u> SPEE	CHDELAY
Study Identification	MADSD
DOB <u>*</u>	
Age at Sampling Date	0 mos
Sampling Date <u>*</u>	
Sampling Clinician _*	:
Pepfile Entry Date _*	<u>.</u>

Severity Adjective:

PCC Adjective

≥86% Mild

66%-85% Mild-Moderate

50%-65% Moderate-Severe

≤49% Severe

<u>Key:</u>

- + Correct
- Incorrect

Conson	ant	Init	ial	Medi	al	Fin	al	Consor	nants	Percentage	Consonants
Class	Sound	+	_	+	_	+	_	Correct	Total	Occurrence	Correct
	m	398	4	169	9	262	21	829	863	7.93	96.06
Nasals	n	339	12	153	41	754	155	1246	1454	13.37	85.69
Class Nasals Glides Stops Fricatives and Affricates	Ŋ	0	0	11	5	38	16	49	70	0.64	70.00
	w	408	60	20	1	0	0	428	489	4.50	87.53
Glides	j	218	20	2	1	0	0	220	241	2.22	91.29
	р	159	18	40	10	67	5	266	299	2.75	88.96
	Ь	388	10	77	11	3	0	468	489	4.50	95.71
	t	237	62	134	91	481	340	852	1345	12.36	63.35
Stops	d	235	15	107	38	159	71	501	625	5.75	80.16
	k	150	104	72	57	138	94	360	615	5.65	58.54
	g	162	96	34	14	49	29	245	384	3.53	63.80
	f	135	20	28	13	25	5	188	226	2.08	83.19
	٧	4	3	41	5	71	34	116	158	1.45	73.42
	θ	6	44	11	21	9	39	26	130	1.20	20.00
	ð	108	239	7	31	0	0	115	385	3.54	29.87
Fricatives	S	137	163	85	77	212	138	434	812	7.46	53.45
and	Z	2	1	12	18	185	184	199	402	3.70	49.50
Affricates	ſ	2	31	6	15	7	16	15	77	0.71	19.48
	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	3	0.03	66.67
	h	226	53	60	0	0	0	286	339	3.12	84.37
	t∫	6	10	1	11	8	19	15	55	0.51	27.27
	ф	20	56	4	6	1	5	25	92	0.85	27.17
	1	85	196	67	72	83	158	235	661	6.08	35.55
Liquids	r	68	246	28	107	51	164	147	664	6.10	22.14
Percent Co	rrect	70.4	8	64.1	3	63.5	5	7267	10878		
								Correct	Total		

Word Coding Summary	N	%
"Words" entered	9014	100.00
"Words" used	6130	68.01
Disregard	1910	21.19
Either/Or	10	0.11
Unsure	262	2.91
Unintelligible	702	7.79
INTELLIGIBILITY INDEX		86.29

Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)

Severity Adjective

MILD-MODERATE

Child <u>Group</u> SPE	ECHDELAY							
Study Identification <u>MADSD</u>								
DOB _*								
Age at Sampling Date	0 mos							
Sampling Date <u>*</u>								
Sampling Clinician _	*							
Pepfile Entry Date $_$	*							

Severity Adjective:

PCC Adjective

≥86% Mild

66%-85% Mild-Moderate

50%-65% Moderate-Severe

≤49% Severe

Key:

- + Correct
- Incorrect

Consonant	Consonant		Ini	tial			Med	lial		Final			
Class	Sound	Sing	le	Clus	ter	Single		Cluster		Single		Cluster	
		+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
	m	390	4	8	0	83	4	86	5	223	19	39	2
Nasals	n	325	10	14	2	70	22	83	19	618	120	136	35
Glides Stops Fricatives	Ŋ	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5	18	12	20	4
	W	395	52	13	8	10	1	10	0	0	0	0	0
Glides	j	217	20	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
	р	89	3	70	15	24	3	16	7	56	4	11	1
	b	328	7	60	3	50	3	27	8	3	0	0	0
	t	199	37	38	25	47	30	87	61	352	259	129	81
Stops	d	220	14	15	1	49	14	58	24	112	50	47	21
	k	117	75	33	29	44	14	28	43	98	73	40	21
	g	152	78	10	18	29	9	5	5	45	27	4	2
	f	113	16	22	4	8	5	20	8	13	5	12	0
	٧	4	3	0	0	32	2	9	3	66	33	5	1
	θ	4	18	2	26	5	3	6	18	9	39	0	0
	ð	108	239	0	0	7	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fricatives	s	77	75	60	88	24	19	61	58	119	70	93	68
and	Z	2	1	0	0	10	7	2	11	122	130	63	54
Affricates	ſ	2	31	0	0	5	13	1	2	7	16	0	0
	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	h	226	53	0	0	23	0	37	0	0	0	0	0
	t∫	6	10	0	0	1	11	0	0	5	16	3	3
	ф	20	56	0	0	2	3	2	3	1	5	0	0
	1	55	101	30	95	36	33	31	39	69	122	14	36
Liquids	r	21	87	47	159	8	39	20	68	39	126	12	38
Percent (Correct	75.	62	47.	21	68.	06	60.8	31	63.	69	63.	12

		Numbe	er of	Total	Number	Perce	entage
Consonant	Consonant	Consc	onants		of	Cons	onants
Class	Sound	Corre	ect	Conso	nants	Corre	ect
		Single	Cluster	Single	Cluster	Single	Cluster
	m	696	133	723	140	96.27	95.00
Nasals	n	1013	233	1165	289	86.95	80.62
	Ŋ	18	31	30	40	60.00	77.50
	W	405	23	458	31	88.43	74.19
Glides	j	217	3	237	4	91.56	75.00
	р	169	97	179	120	94.41	80.83
	b	381	87	391	98	97.44	88.78
Stops Fricatives and Affricates	t	598	254	924	421	64.72	60.33
	d	381	120	459	166	83.01	72.29
	k	259	101	421	194	61.52	52.06
	g	226	19	340	44	66.47	43.18
	f	134	54	160	66	83.75	81.82
	٧	102	14	140	18	72.86	77.78
	θ	18	8	78	52	23.08	15.38
	ð	115	0	385	0	29.87	*
Fricatives	s	220	214	384	428	57.29	50.00
and	Z	134	65	272	130	49.26	50.00
Affricates	ſ	14	1	74	3	18.92	33.33
	3	2	0	3	0	66.67	*
	h	249	37	302	37	82.45	100.00
	t∫	12	3	49	6	24.49	50.00
	ф	23	2	87	5	26.44	40.00
	1	160	75	416	245	38.46	30.61
Liquids	r	68	79	320	344	21.25	22.97
		5614	1653	7997	2881	70.20	57.38

<u>Single Cluster Single Cluster Single Cluster</u> Number of Total Number Percentage Consonants of Consonants Correct Consonants Correct (PCCS) Total "words" entered _9014_ Total "words" used ____6130_ Single MILD-MODERATE Percent "words" used 68.01

Cluster MODERATE-SEVERE

MONOSYLLABLE WORDS

	Number Consona Correct	ants	Total No of Consonar		Percentage Consonants Correct		
Single	Initial: Final: Total:	1683	Initial: Final: Total:	2626	Initial: Final: Total:		
Cluster	Initial: Final: Total:	500	Initial: Final: Total:	765	Initial: Final: Total:		
Total	Initial: Final: Total:	2183	Initial: Final: Total:	3391	Initial: Final: Total:		

MULTISYLLABLE WORDS

	Number Consona Correct	nts	Total No of Consonar		Percentage Consonants Correct		
Single	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	292	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	475	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	61.47	
Cluster	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	164 602 128 894	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	230	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	55.65	
Total	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	420	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	705	Initial: Medial: Final: Total:	59.57	

otes:	 	 	 	

PEPPER Guide 1 includes information on conversational speech sampling for the purposes of PCC and other speech, prosody, and voice analyses. The sample PCC and PCC-Split outputs are two formats that have been used for individual and group PCC data. It is important to keep in mind when formatting a Pepfile for PCC analyses that all Y-Z sound correspondences are inspected, except for sounds that occur in a word that is either questionable in the X line (a disregard or either/or word), or partially questionable in the X line (at least one segment in the word is an unsure or at least one syllable is 'unintelligible'), or sounds in the Z-line that are unsure.

The PCC output provides a Word Coding Summary, including an Intelligibility Index at the bottom of the form. The Intelligibility Index results from the following steps: (1) subtract from the total number of 'words' in the sample, all disregard words in the X line, (2) subtract from this result, the total of all either/or, unsure, and unintelligible words, and (3) divide (2) by (1) and multiply by 100. Essentially, the Intelligibility Index is based on the percentage of intelligible words, with disregards (fillers, false starts, repeated words, etc.) removed from both the numerator and the denominator.

The three-page output for the PCC-Split provides more detail than provided in the PCC analyses. Percentage of Consonants Correct information is tabled separately for each sound as it occurs as a singleton or as part of a cluster, by word position, and by monosyllable and multi-syllable words.

Consonant Analyses_Phonemes

Filename Group SPEECHDELAY Sampling Date * Date of Birth * Age at Sampling Date 0 yrs 0 mos Analysis Date Sound Position Correct Deletion Substitution In Word N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N	Sounds 5 402 6 178 2 283 8 863 5 351 2 194 7 909
Age at Sampling Date	Sounds 402 178 283 863 351 2194 7909 1454
Sound Position In Word Correct N % Deletion N % Substitution N % Distortion N % Initial 398 99.00 1 0.25 2 0.50 1 0.2 Medial 169 94.94 1 0.56 7 3.93 1 0.5 Final 262 92.58 6 2.12 9 3.18 6 2.1 Total 829 96.06 8 0.93 18 2.09 8 0.9 Initial 339 96.58 1 0.28 8 2.28 3 0.8 Medial 153 78.87 32 16.49 8 4.12 1 0.5 Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	Sounds 402 178 283 863 351 2194 7909 1454
The Word N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N	Sounds 402 178 283 863 351 2194 7909 1454
Medial 169 94.94 1 0.56 7 3.93 1 0.57 Final 262 92.58 6 2.12 9 3.18 6 2.1 Total 829 96.06 8 0.93 18 2.09 8 0.9 Initial 339 96.58 1 0.28 8 2.28 3 0.8 Medial 153 78.87 32 16.49 8 4.12 1 0.5 Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	178 2 283 3 863 5 351 2 194 7 909 6 1454 16 54
Final 262 92.58 6 2.12 9 3.18 6 2.1 Total 829 96.06 8 0.93 18 2.09 8 0.9 Initial 339 96.58 1 0.28 8 2.28 3 0.8 Medial 153 78.87 32 16.49 8 4.12 1 0.5 Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	2 283 3 863 5 351 2 194 7 909 6 1454 16 54
Total 262 92.58 6 2.12 9 3.18 6 2.1 Total 829 96.06 8 0.93 18 2.09 8 0.9 Initial 339 96.58 1 0.28 8 2.28 3 0.8 Medial 153 78.87 32 16.49 8 4.12 1 0.5 Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	3 863 5 351 2 194 7 909 6 1454 16 54
Thitial 339 96.58 1 0.28 8 2.28 3 0.8 Medial 153 78.87 32 16.49 8 4.12 1 0.5 Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	351 2 194 7 909 5 1454 16 54
Medial 153 78.87 32 16.49 8 4.12 1 0.5 Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	194 7 909 6 1454 16 54
Final 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	7 909 5 1454 16 54
Total 754 82.95 109 11.99 39 4.29 7 0.7 Total 1246 85.69 142 9.77 55 3.78 11 0.7 Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50 Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	1454 16 54
Total Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50	16 54
Total Initial Medial 11 68.75 3 18.75 2 12.50	54
Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	54
Final 38 70.37 11 20.37 5 9.26 Total 49 70.00 14 20.00 7 10.00	
	70
Initial 408 87.18 11 2.35 18 3.85 31 6.6	2 468
Medial 20 95.24 1 4.76	21
W Mediai 20 95.24 1 4.76	
Total 428 87.53 11 2.25 19 3.89 31 6.3	4 489
Initial 218 91.60 5 2.10 10 4.20 5 2.1	
Medial 2 66.67 1 33.33	3
J Final	
Total 220 91.29 6 2.49 10 4.15 5 2.0	7 241
Initial 159 89.83 3 1.69 5 2.82 10 5.6	5 177
Medial 40 80.00 4 8.00 5 10.00 1 2.0	50
P Final 67 93.06 2 2.78 3 4.17	72
Total 266 88.96 9 3.01 13 4.35 11 3.6	3 299
Initial 388 97.49 3 0.75 5 1.26 2 0.5	398
b Medial 77 87.50 2 2.27 8 9.09 1 1.1	4 88
Final 3 100.00	3
Total 468 95.71 5 1.02 13 2.66 3 0.6	1 489
Initial 237 79.26 18 6.02 24 8.03 20 6.6	
Hedial 134 59.56 53 23.56 35 15.56 3 1.3	
Final 481 58.59 227 27.65 111 13.52 2 0.2	
Total 852 63.35 298 22.16 170 12.64 25 1.8	
Initial 235 94.00 5 2.00 10 4.00	250
d Medial 107 73.79 19 13.10 19 13.10	145
Final 159 69.13 44 19.13 26 11.30 1 0.4	
Total 501 80.16 68 10.88 55 8.80 1 0.1	625
Initial 150 59.06 7 2.76 94 37.01 3 1.1	
Medial 72 55.81 18 13.95 38 29.46 1 0.7	
Final 138 59.48 41 17.67 52 22.41 1 0.4	
Total 360 58.54 66 10.73 184 29.92 5 0.8	
Initial 162 62.79 9 3.49 85 32.95 2 0.7	
Medial 34 70 83 4 8 33 10 20 83	48
G Final 49 62.82 10 12.82 18 23.08 1 1.2	
Total 245 63.80 23 5.99 113 29.43 3 0.7	

ARTIC TES	ARTIC TEST: PHONEME ANALYSIS: CONSONANTS Page: 2									
Filename	Grou	ıp SP	EECHDELA	Ϋ́	Samp	ling Da	te	*		
Date of I		*					Linician	*		
	ampling Dat			0 mos		ysis Da				
Sound	Position In Word	Co:	rrect %	Dele N	etion %	Subst:	itution %	Dista N	ortion %	Total Sounds
	Initial	135	87.10			18	11.61	2	1.29	155
l f	Medial	28	68.29	2	4.88	11	26.83			41
	Final	25	83.33			5	16.67			30
	Total	188	83.19	2	0.88	34	15.04	2	0.88	226
	Initial	4	57.14			3	42.86			7
	Medial	41	89.13			5	10.87			46
V	Final	71	67.62	26	24.76	8	7.62			105
	Total	116	73.42	26	16.46	16	10.13			158
	Initial	6	12.00	5	10.00	39	78.00			50
θ	Medial	11	34.38	3	9.38	17	53.13	1	3.13	32
	Final	9	18.75	7	14.58	32	66.67			48
	Total	26	20.00	15	11.54	88	67.69	1	0.77	130
	Initial	108	31.12	11	3.17	224	64.55	4	1.15	347
ð	Medial	7	18.42	4	10.53	24	63.16	3	7.89	38
	Final									
	Total	115	29.87	15	3.90	248	64.42	7	1.82	385
s	Initial	137	45.67	47	15.67	62	20.67	54	18.00	300
	Medial	85	52.47	14	8.64	32	19.75	31	19.14	162
	Final	212	60.57	19	5.43	36	10.29	83	23.71	350
	Total	434	53.45	80	9.85	130	16.01	168	20.69	812
	Initial	2	66.67			1	33.33			3
-	Medial	12	40.00	5	16.67	6	20.00	7	23.33	30
	Final	185	50.14	17	4.61	69	18.70	98	26.56	369
	Total	199	49.50	22	5.47	76	18.91	105	26.12	402
	Initial	2	6.06	4	12.12	24	72.73	3	9.09	33
ſ	Medial	6	28.57			12	57.14	3	14.29	21
Z = 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Final	7		1	4.35	13	56.52	2	8.70	23
	Total	15	19.48	5	6.49	49	63.64	8	10.39	77
	Initial									
-	Medial	2	66.67			1	33.33			3
3	Final									
	Total	2	66.67			1	33.33			3
	Initial	226	81.00	44	15.77	4	1.43	5	1.79	279
l h	Medial	60	100.00							60
	Final									
	Total	286	84.37	44	12.98	4	1.18	5	1.47	339
	Initial	6	37.50			10	62.50			16
t∫	Medial	1	8.33			9	75.00	2	16.67	12
IJ	Final	8	29.63			19	70.37			27
	Total	15	27.27			38	69.09	2	3.64	55
	Initial	20	26.32	5	6.58	51	67.11			76
4	Medial	4	40.00			6	60.00			10
पुर	Final	1	16.67			5	83.33			6
										-

ARTIC TEST: PHONEME ANALYSIS: CONSONANTS									Page:	3	
Filename	Grou	ıp SI	EECHDELA	.Y		ling D		*			
Date of E		*				_	linician	*			
	mpling Dat			0 mos		ysis D				1	
Sound	Position In Word	Co N	rrect %	Del N	etion %	Subst N	itution %	Dist N	ortion %	Total Sound	
	Initial	85	30.25	55	19.57	98	34.88	43	15.30	281	
1	Medial	67	48.20	24	17.27	31	22.30	17	12.23	139	
•	Final	83	34.44	49	20.33	97	40.25	12	4.98	241	
Ī	Total	235	35.55	128	19.36	226	34.19	72	10.89	661	
	Initial	68	21.66	88	28.03	30	9.55	128	40.76	314	
r	Medial	28	20.74	31	22.96	16	11.85	60	44.44	135	
	Final	51	23.72	52	24.19	49	22.79	63	29.30	215	
	Total	147	22.14	171	25.75	95	14.31	251	37.80	664	
	Initial	3493	70.48	322	6.50	825	16.65	316	6.38	4956	
Total	Medial	1171	64.13	220	12.05	303	16.59	132	7.23	1826	
Row %	Final	2603	63.55	621	15.16	596	14.55	276	6.74	4096	
	Total	7267	66.80	1163	10.69	1724	15.85	724	6.66	10878	
	Initial	3493	48.07	322	27.69	825	47.85	316	43.65	4956	
Total	Medial	1171	16.11	220	18.92	303	17.58	132	18.23	1826	
Col %	Final	2603	35.82	621	53.40	596	34.57	276	38.12	4096	
-	Total	7267	100.00	1163	100.00	1724	100.00	724	100.00	10878	
	Initial	3493	32.11	322	2.96	825	7.58	316	2.90	4956	
Total -	Medial	1171	10.76	220	2.02	303	2.79	132	1.21	1826	
	Final	2603	23.93	621	5.71	596	5.48	276	2.54	4096	
	Total	7267	66.80	1163	10.69	1724	15.85	724	6.66	10878	
37-4											
Notes:											

The sample analysis output titled Phoneme Analysis: Consonants can be run on any type of speech behavior. It is perfectly appropriate to run Arctic Test analyses on speech samples consisting of syllables, phrases, sentences or utterances from continuous speech. The only restriction for the analysis to be computed is that each 'word' must contain a vowel. The four columns in the output provide number and percentage data for correct and incorrect segments. The 24 consonants are classified by manner, with place features within each class progressing anterior to posterior in the vocal tract, that is, from the lips to the glottis. The order of manner classes is consistent with most normative data on consonant acquisition. Summary percentages are given for consonants across each row. The three summary total areas are percentaged in three alternatives ways: by row, by column, and for each row x column cell. Only those sounds occurring in non-questionable words are entered into the computations.

Consonant Analyses_Features

			FEATU	JRE ANA	LYSIS:	CONSON	ANTS			Page:	1
Filename	Grou		EECHDELA	ΛΥ		ling Da		*			
Date of		*					linician	*			
Age at Sa	ampling Dat			0 mos		ysis Da		1			
Feature	Position		rrect	_	etion		itution		ortion	Total	
	In Word	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Sounds	S —
Class	Initial	1516	73.81	161	7.84	166	8.08	211	10.27	2054	
Sonor-	Medial	450	65.60	92	13.41	65	9.48	79	11.52	686	
ant	Final	1188	69.80	227	13.34	199	11.69	88	5.17	1702	
	Total	3154	71.00	480	10.81	430	9.68	378	8.51	4442	
	Initial	1977	68.13	161	5.55	659	22.71	105	3.62	2902	
Obstru-	Medial	721	63.25	128	11.23	238	20.88	53	4.65	1140	
ent	Final	1415	59.11	394	16.46	397	16.58	188	7.85	2394	
	Total	4113	63.91	683	10.61	1294	20.11	346	5.38	6436	
Voice	Initial	2435	71.77	194	5.72	545	16.06	219	6.45	3393	
Voiced	Medial	734	67.09	126	11.52	144	13.16	90	8.23	1094	
voiced	Final	1656	66.43	324	13.00	325	13.04	188	7.54	2493	
	Total	4825	69.13	644	9.23	1014	14.53	497	7.12	6980	
	Initial	1058	67.69	128	8.19	280	17.91	97	6.21	1563	
Voice-	Medial	437	59.70	94	12.84	159	21.72	42	5.74	732	
less	Final	947	59.08	297	18.53	271	16.91	88	5.49	1603	
	Total	2442	62.65	519	13.31	710	18.21	227	5.82	3898	
Manner	Initial	737	97.88	2	0.27	10	1.33	4	0.53	753	
	Medial	333	85.82	36	9.28	17	4.38	2	0.52	388	
Nasal	Final	1054	84.59	126	10.11	53	4.25	13	1.04	1246	
	Total	2124	88.98	164	6.87	80	3.35	19	0.80	2387	
	Initial	626	88.67	16	2.27	28	3.97	36	5.10	706	
Glide	Medial	22	91.67	1	4.17	1	4.17			24	
	Final										
	Total	648	88.77	17	2.33	29	3.97	36	4.93	730	
	Initial	1331	81.36	45	2.75	223	13.63	37	2.26	1636	
Stop	Medial	464	67.74	100	14.60	115	16.79	6	0.88	685	
БССР	Final	897	62.47	324	22.56	210	14.62	5	0.35	1436	
	Total	2692	71.65	469	12.48	548	14.59	48	1.28	3757	
	Initial	620	52.81	111	9.45	375	31.94	68	5.79	1174	
Frica-	Medial	252	58.20	28	6.47	108	24.94	45	10.39	433	
			55.03	70			17.62				
tive	Final	509	54.54		7.57	163		183	19.78	925	
	Total	1381		209	8.25	646	25.51	296	11.69	2532	
3.E.E	Initial	26	28.26	5	5.43	61	66.30	•	0.00	92	
Affri-	Medial	5	22.73			15	68.18	2	9.09	22	
cate	Final	9	27.27	_	2 46	24	72.73	_	1 00	33	
	Total	40	27.21	5	3.40	100	68.03	2	1.36	147	
	Initial	153	25.71	143	24.03	128	21.51	171	28.74	595	
Liquid	Medial	95	34.67	55	20.07	47	17.15	77	28.10	274	
	Final	134	29.39	101	22.15	146	32.02	75	16.45	456	
	Total	382	28.83	299	22.57	321	24.23	323	24.38	1325	

ARTIC TES	ST:		FEATU	IRE ANA	LYSIS:	CONSON	ANTS			Page:	2
Filename	Grou	ıp SP	EECHDELA	Υ		ling Da		*			
Date of I		*					Linician	*			
Age at Sa	ampling Dat	e	0 yrs	0 mos		ysis Da		1		ı	
Feature	Position In Word	Co: N	rrect %	Dele N	etion %	Subst N	itution %	Dist N	ortion %	Total Sound	
Place	Initial	1353	93.63	18	1.25	30	2.08	44	3.04	1445	
må 1 alla å	Medial	306	90.80	7	2.08	21	6.23	3	0.89	337	
Bilabi-	Final	332	92.74	8	2.23	12	3.35	6	1.68	358	
al	Total	1991	93.04	33	1.54	63	2.94	53	2.48	2140	
	Initial	139	85.80			21	12.96	2	1.23	162	
Labio-	Medial	69	79.31	2	2.30	16	18.39			87	
dental	Final	96	71.11	26	19.26	13	9.63			135	
	Total	304	79.17	28	7.29	50	13.02	2	0.52	384	
	Initial	114	28.72	16	4.03	263	66.25	4	1.01	397	
Dental	Medial	18	25.71	7	10.00	41	58.57	4	5.71	70	
	Final	9	18.75	7	14.58	32	66.67			48	
	Total	141	27.38	30	5.83	336	65.24	8	1.55	515	
	Initial	1035	69.74	126	8.49	203	13.68	120	8.09	1484	
Alveo-	Medial	558	62.35	147	16.42	131	14.64	59	6.59	895	
lar	Final	1874	64.18	465	15.92	378	12.95	203	6.95	2920	
	Total	3467	65.43	738	13.93	712	13.44	382	7.21	5299	
	Initial	314	46.38	102	15.07	125	18.46	136	20.09	677	
Palatal	Medial	43	23.37	32	17.39	44	23.91	65	35.33	184	
	Final	67	24.72	53	19.56	86	31.73	65	23.99	271	
	Total	424	37.46	187	16.52	255	22.53	266	23.50	1132	
	Initial	312	60.94	16	3.13	179	34.96	5	0.98	512	
Velar	Medial	117	60.62	25	12.95	50	25.91	1	0.52	193	
	Final	225	61.81	62	17.03	75	20.60	2	0.55	364	
	Total	654	61.18	103	9.64	304	28.44	8	0.75	1069	
	Initial	226	81.00	44	15.77	4	1.43	5	1.79	279	
Glottal	Medial	60	100.00							60	
	Final										
	Total	286	84.37	44	12.98	4	1.18	5	1.47	339	
Substitu	tion Summa	ry (In	decreas	ing per	centag	e of o	currence	∍)			
Within-	and Across	-		Total		Total		Perce	ntage		
Class Su	bstitution	s		Occur	rence	Possi	ble	Occur:	rence		
Dental	-> Alv	eolar		267		515		51.8	4 %		
Affricat	ive -> Sto	p		55		147		37.4	1%		
Velar	-> Alv	eolar		263		1069		24.6	0%		
Obstruen	t -> Obs	truent		1199		6436		18.6	3%		
Unvoiced	-> Unv		559		3898		14.3	4 %			
Affricat	ive -> Fri		21		147		14.2	9%			
Fricativ	e -> Sto	p		353		2532		13.9	4 %		
Stop	-> Sto	p		451		3757		12.0	0%		
Voiced	-> Voi	ced		718		6980		10.2	9%		
Fricativ	e -> Fri	cative		252		2532		9.9	5%		
Palatal	-> Alv	eolar		112		1132		9.8	9%		
Liquid	-> Gli	de		128		1325		9.6	6%		
Dental	-> Lab	iodenta	al	44		515		8.5	4%		

ARTIC TEST:		FEATURE A	NALYSIS: CONSONANTS		P	age:	3
Filename	Group	SPEECHDELAY	Sampling Date	*			
Date of Birth	*		Sampling Clinician	*			
Age at Samplin	T Date	0 vzca 0 m/	og Analygig Date				

	rs 0 mos Anal		
Substitution Summary (In decrea	asing percentag	e of occurren	ice)
Within- and Across- Class Substitutions	Total Occurrence	Total Possible	Percentage Occurrence
Labiodental -> Bilabial	21	384	5.47%
Sonorant -> Sonorant	213	4442	4.80%
Labiodental -> Alveolar	15	384	3.91%
Alveolar -> Alveolar	179	5299	3.38%
Palatal -> Bilabial	34	1132	3.00%
Unvoiced -> Voiced	114	3898	2.92%
Alveolar -> Bilabial	123	5299	2.32%
Alveolar -> Glottal	111	5299	2.09%
Nasal -> Nasal	50	2387	2.09%
Glide -> Liquid	14	730	1.92%
Labiodental -> Labiodental	7	384	1.82%
Voiced -> Unvoiced	110	6980	1.58%
Dental -> Glottal	8	515	1.55%
Dental -> Bilabial	8	515	1.55%
Consonant -> Pure Vowel	151	10878	1.39%
Stop -> Fricative	51	3757	1.36%
Alveolar -> Velar	66	5299	1.25%
Dental -> Velar	6	515	1.17%
Velar -> Glottal	12	1069	1.12%
Velar -> Velar	12	1069	1.12%
Sonorant -> Obstruent	46	4442	1.04%
Alveolar -> Dental	54	5299	1.02%
Palatal -> Palatal	10	1132	0.88%
Nasal -> Stop	20	2387	0.84%
Liquid -> Stop	11	1325	0.83%
Bilabial -> Bilabial	17	2140	0.79%
Labiodental -> Palatal	3	384	0.78%
Liquid -> Liquid	10	1325	0.75%
Liquid -> Fricative	9	1325	0.68%
Obstruent -> Sonorant	43	6436	0.67%
Alveolar -> Palatal	35	5299	0.66%
Fricative -> Glide	15	2532	0.59%
Glottal -> Glottal	2	339	0.59%
Dental -> Palatal	3	515	0.58%
Consonant -> Synchronic	61	10878	0.56%
Bilabial -> Alveolar	12	2140	0.56%
Glide -> Nasal	4	730	0.55%
Palatal -> Glottal	6	1132	0.53%
Palatal -> Dental	6	1132	0.53%
Labiodental -> Dental	2	384	0.52%
Bilabial -> Labiodental	10	2140	0.47%
Liquid -> Nasal	6	1325	0.45%
Palatal -> Velar	5	1132	0.44%

ARTIC TEST:		FEATURE ANA	LYSIS: CONSONANTS		Page:	4
Filename	Group	SPEECHDELAY	Sampling Date	*		
Date of Birth	*		Sampling Clinician	*		
Age at Samplin	g Date	0 yrs 0 mos	Analysis Date			

		Obtained							
	Class	Son an		Obs en	tru- t				
Intended	Sonor- ant	213 430 4442	of = % 49.53 4.80		of = % 10.70 1.04				
Inte	Obstru- ent	43 1294 6436	of = % 3.32 0.67	1199 1294 6436	of = % 92.66 18.63				

		Obtained							
	Voice	Voiced	Voice- less						
Intended	Voiced	718 of = % 1014 70.81 6980 10.29	1014 10.85						
Inte	Voice- less	114 of = % 710 16.06 3898 2.92	710 78.73						

							Obta	ined					
	Manner	Nasal		Glide		Sto	Stop		ca- ve	Affri- cate		Liquid	
	Nasal	50 80 2387	of = % 62.50 2.09	1 80 2387	of = % 1.25 0.04	20 80 2387	of = % 25.00 0.84	2 80 2387	of = % 2.50 0.08				
	Glide	4 29 730	of = % 13.79 0.55			1 29 730	of = % 3.45 0.14	3 29 730	of = % 10.34 0.41			14 29 730	of = % 48.28 1.92
Intended	Stop	12 548 3757	of = % 2.19 0.32	5 548 3757	of = % 0.91 0.13	451 548 3757	of = % 82.30 12.00	51 548 3757	of = % 9.31 1.36	10 548 3757	of = % 1.82 0.27	1 548 3757	of = % 0.18 0.03
Inte	Frica- tive	4 646 2532	of = % 0.62 0.16	15 646 2532	of = % 2.32 0.59	353 646 2532	of = % 54.64 13.94	252 646 2532	of = % 39.01 9.95	6 646 2532	of = % 0.93 0.24	6 646 2532	of = % 0.93 0.24
	Affri- cate					55 100 147	of = % 55.00 37.41	100 147	of = % 21.00 14.29				
	Liquid	6 321 1325	of = % 1.87 0.45	128 321 1325	of = % 39.88 9.66	11 321 1325	of = % 3.43 0.83	9 321 1325	of = % 2.80 0.68			10 321 1325	of = % 3.12 0.75

								Obt	ained	ed						
	Place	Bila al	abi-	- Labio- dental D		Den	Dental		Alveo- lar		atal	Vel	Velar		ttal	
	Bilabi- al	17 63 2140	of = % 26.98 0.79	10 63 2140	of = % 15.87 0.47			12 63 2140	of = % 19.05 0.56	9 63 2140	of = % 14.29 0.42	4 63 2140	of = % 6.35 0.19	3 63 2140	of = % 4.76 0.14	
	Labio- dental	21 50 384	of = % 42.00 5.47	7 50 384	of = % 14.00 1.82	2 50 384	of = % 4.00 0.52	15 50 384	of = % 30.00 3.91	3 50 384	of = % 6.00 0.78	1 50 384	of = % 2.00 0.26	1 50 384	of = % 2.00 0.26	
eq	Dental	8 336 515	of = % 2.38 1.55	44 336 515	of = % 13.10 8.54			267 336 515	of = % 79.46 51.84	3 336 515	of = % 0.89 0.58	6 336 515	of = % 1.79 1.17	8 336 515	of = % 2.38 1.55	
Intende	Alveo- lar	123 712 5299	of = % 17.28 2.32	13 712 5299	of = % 1.83 0.25	54 712 5299	of = % 7.58 1.02	179 712 5299	of = % 25.14 3.38	35 712 5299	of = % 4.92 0.66	66 712 5299	of = % 9.27 1.25	111 712 5299	of = % 15.59 2.09	
H	Palatal	34 255 1132	of = % 13.33 3.00	4 255 1132	of = % 1.57 0.35	6 255 1132	of = % 2.35 0.53	112 255 1132	of = % 43.92 9.89	10 255 1132	of = % 3.92 0.88	5 255 1132	of = % 1.96 0.44	6 255 1132	of = % 2.35 0.53	
	Velar	4 304 1069	of = % 1.32 0.37	1 304 1069	of = % 0.33 0.09	4 304 1069	of = % 1.32 0.37	263 304 1069	of = % 86.51 24.60	2 304 1069	of = % 0.66 0.19	12 304 1069	of = % 3.95 1.12	12 304 1069	of = % 3.95 1.12	
	Glottal	1 4 339	of = % 25.00 0.29									1 4 339	of = % 25.00 0.29	2 4 339	of = % 50.00 0.59	

ded	Other	Pur Vow	_	Dip th	h- ong	Tie	
Inten	Conso- nant	151 1724 10878	of = % 8.76 1.39		of = % 0.64 0.10		of = % 3.54 0.56

The format for the feature analyses is generally similar to that used for the analysis of individual phonemes. The phonetic feature system selected to classify the consonant phonemes of English consists of the traditional six manner features (nasals, stops, fricatives, affricates, glides and liquids) and the six place features (bilabial, labiodental, lingua-alveolar, palatal, velar, and glottal). Sounds are also categorized by the higherorder linguistic concepts of obstruents (stops, fricatives, affricates) versus sonorants (nasals, glides, liquids) and by voiced versus voiceless. Summary formats for each feature category and a summary category are provided. Feature Analysis: Consonants also computes and presents, in ranked order, a Substitution Summary by features. The features corresponding to sound substitutions are computed, rank ordered, and arranged on the second section of the output for visual inspection. The computer program looks at the features for non-questionable sounds (main characters) in the Z line and calculates the percentage of occurrence of feature changes from those intended for the corresponding sounds (main characters) in the Y line. The summary ranked list includes all feature changes that occurred at least once in the speech sample. If the substituted sound is not another consonant, the program prints "other" to the right of the arrow.

PEPPER_PepAnalyses tab: PepAsses > Analyses > Phoneme Analyses > Natural Process Analysis (NPA)

PEPPER's extensive series of natural process analyses have been retained in PepAssess primarily for their possible value for some contemporary educational, clinical, or research question.

SECTION II:

SOME ADDITIONAL PEPASSESS AND SOME PEPCLASS OUTPUTS

The second section of this guide includes a table with some references to research that has used PepAssess and PepClass outputs. The reports have used finalized (<u>Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, & Mabie, 2019</u>) or nearly finalized versions of the Speech Disorders Classification System.

Phonology Project Articles: Tables and Figures with PEPPER-related content.

Referencea	PepAı	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	ocation		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, and Mabie (2019)	X	X	Consonants		X	1	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for 415 participants with idiopathic Speech Delay
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values (M, SD) for 415 participants with idiopathic Speech Delay
		X	Multiple		X	4	DSI percentile scores for 14 participants classified as CD from a group of 415 children with idiopathic Speech Delay.
		X	Multiple		X	5	Prevalence estimates of Motor Speech Disorders in 415 children with idiopathic Speech Delay
		X	Multiple	X		2	SDCSS for individual and Down syndrome group
		X	Multiple	X		3	SDCSS for group of 415 children with idiopathic Speech Delay
Shriberg, Strand, Jakielski, and Mabie (2019)		X	Multiple		X	3	DI and 5 DSI percentages for seven groups with Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorders
		X	Multiple	X		3	SDCSS for individual and group with Childhood Apraxia of Speech
		X	Multiple	X		4	SDCSS for participants in eight Complex Neurodevelopmental groups
		X	Multiple	X		5	SDCSS graphed findings of three speech classification percentages for participants in eight Complex Neurodevelopmental groups
		X	Multiple	X		6	SDCSS graphed findings of five motor speech classification percentages for participants in eight Complex Neurodevelopmental groups
Shriberg, Campbell, Mabie, and McGlothlin (2019)	X	X	Consonants		X	1	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for 415 participants with idiopathic Speech Delay by Motor Speech classification status
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for 415 participants with idiopathic Speech Delay by Motor Speech classification status

Reference ^a	PepAr	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	ocation		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
	X	X	Consonants		X	2	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for 14 longitudinal participants with idiopathic Speech Delay from the earliest available conversational sample
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for 14 longitudinal participants with idiopathic Speech Delay from the earliest available conversational sample
	X		Vowels		X	3	PVC raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with high (Sample 1) and low (Sample 2) prevalence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD)
	X	X	Consonants				PCC raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with high (Sample 1) and low (Sample 2) prevalence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD)
	X	X	Consonants - SRT				SRT Performance, Encoding, and Memory z-sores for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with high (Sample 1) and low (Sample 2) prevalence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD)
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with high (Sample 1) and low (Sample 2) prevalence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD)
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				OII % Lowered Intelligibility for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with high (Sample 1) and low (Sample 2) prevalence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD)
	X		Phrasing				Average Words/Utterance z-sores for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with high (Sample 1) and low (Sample 2) prevalence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD)
		X	PSI		X	4	Ten most frequent earliest available PSI signs for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with

Referencea	PepAnalyses		Domain or Measure ^b	Location			Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
							Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X		Vowels		X	5	PVC raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X	X	Consonants				PCC raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X	X	Consonants - SRT				SRT Performance, Encoding, and Memory z-sores for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				OII % Lowered Intelligibility for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X		Phrasing				Average Words/Utterance z-sores for participant samples of idiopathic Speech Delay with Speech Motor Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD)
	X		Vowels		X	6	PVC raw values and z-scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for 11 participants with concurrent Speech Delay and normalized Speech Motor Delay (SMD) by 9 years of age and 3 participants with concurrent Speech Delay and persistent SMD after 9 years of age
	X	X	Consonants				PCC raw values and z-scores (M, SD) for 11 participants with concurrent Speech Delay and

Referencea	PepAnalyses		Domain or Measure ^b	Location			Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
							normalized Speech Motor Delay (SMD) by 9 years of
							age and 3 participants with concurrent Speech Delay
							and persistent SMD after 9 years of age
			Consonants				PCCR raw values and z-scores (M, SD) for 11
							participants with concurrent Speech Delay and
	X	X					normalized Speech Motor Delay (SMD) by 9 years of
							age and 3 participants with concurrent Speech Delay
							and persistent SMD after 9 years of age
			Vowels &				II raw values and z-scores (M, SD) for 11 participants
			Consonants				with concurrent Speech Delay and normalized Speech
	X	X					Motor Delay (SMD) by 9 years of age and 3
							participants with concurrent Speech Delay and
							persistent SMD after 9 years of age
			Vowels &				OII % Lowered Intelligibility for 11 participants
			Consonants				with concurrent Speech Delay and normalized Speech
	X	X					Motor Delay (SMD) by 9 years of age and 3
							participants with concurrent Speech Delay and
							persistent SMD after 9 years of age
			PSI				Scatterplot of persistence of Speech Motor Delay
		X		X		1	(SMD) based on the PSI in 14 participants treated for
							idiopathic Speech Delay (SD).
Shriberg and Wren			Vowels				PVC raw values (M, SD) for three groups of speakers
(2019)							(i.e., two groups with idiopathic Speech Delay from
	X				X	1	the USA and England and a group with Complex
	11				11	1	Neurodevelopmental Disorders) with Speech Motor
							Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No
							MSD) in two of the three groups
			Consonants				PCC raw values (M, SD) for three groups of speakers
							(i.e., two groups with idiopathic Speech Delay from
	X	X					the USA and England and a group with Complex
							Neurodevelopmental Disorders) with Speech Motor
							Delay (SMD) and No Motor Speech Disorder (No
							MSD) in two of the three groups

Reference ^a	PepAnalyses		Domain or Measure ^b	Location			Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
		X	PSI		X	2	PSI Sign No. 5 findings for participants in the USA and Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorder (CND) groups with idiopathic Speech Delay and Speech Motor Delay (SMD) compared to control participants with idiopathic Speech Delay and No Motor Speech Disorder (No MSD) in two speech tasks.
		X	PSI	X		1	The Precision-Stability Index (PSI): Individual output
		X	PSI	X		2	The 19 PSI acoustic signs of Speech Motor Delay in two groups with idiopathic Speech Delay from the USA and England and a group with Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorders
	X	X	Vowels & PSI	X		3	Average duration (ms) of the 11 phonemes in PSI 5: Increased Duration of Mid-Vowels and Diphthongs in the continuous speech tasks from participants with idiopathic Speech Delay and Speech Motor Delay compared to durations of these phonemes from the continuous speech of participants with SD and No Motor Speech Disorder
Wilson, Abbeduto, Camarata, and Shriberg (2019a)	X		Vowels		X	2	PVC raw values (M, SD, Range) for DS group
,	X	X	Consonants				PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for DS group
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values (M, SD, Range) for DS group
	X		Phrasing				Average Words/Utterance raw scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for 45 participants with Down syndrome (DS)
		X	Multiple	X		1	SDCSS for Down syndrome group
		X	Multiple	X		2	5 DSI subtype percentages and percentiles for participants with Down syndrome (DS)

Referencea	PepAi	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	ocation		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
Wilson, Abbeduto, Camarata, and Shriberg (2019b)	X		Phrasing		X	1	Average Words/Utterance raw scores (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i>) for participants with Down syndrome by Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification
		X	Vowels & Consonants		X	2	Ordinal Intelligibility Index findings for a Down syndrome group classified by their speech and motor speech status.
		X	Vowels & Consonants		X	3	Ordinal Intelligibility Index findings for a Down syndrome group classified by their motor speech status.
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants	X		1	Intelligibility Index scores and Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification findings for a Down syndrome group.
		X	Multiple	X		2	Bar graphs of 5 DSI subtype percentages and percentiles for participants with Down syndrome by High and Low Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification
		X	Multiple	X		3	Bar graphs of Motor Speech Classification percentages from the SDCSS for three participants groups (Down syndrome, Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorders, and Idiopathic Speech Delay) by Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification (High, Moderate, and Low)
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants	X		4	Four measures of consonant and vowel production in Conversational Speech in a Down syndrome group by High and Low Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification
	X	X	Consonants	X		5	Sibilant distortions in Conversational Speech in a Down syndrome group by High and Low Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification
	X	X	Prosody& Voice	X		6	Inappropriate prosody and voice in Conversational Speech in a Down syndrome group by High and Low Ordinal Intelligibility Index classification

Reference ^a	PepAi	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	ocation		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
Baylis and Shriberg (2018)	X		Vowels		X	1	PVC raw values and z-scores (M, SD, Range)
	X	X	Consonants				PCC raw values and z-scores (M, SD, Range)
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants				II raw values and z-scores (M, SD, Range)
		X	Multiple		X	2	SDCS speech and motor speech classifications described
	X	X	Multiple		X	3	Transcription, PVSP , and acoustic analyses reliability estimates
		X	Multiple	X		1	SDCSS (22q and DS)
		X	Multiple	X		2	SDCSS (FXS and GALT)
		X	Multiple	X		3	Bar graphs for speech and motor speech classifications percentage of participants (22q, DS, FXS, GALT)
Shriberg et al. (2017a)		X	Multiple		X	3	SDCS speech and motor speech classifications described
		X	Multiple		X	4	DI and 5 DSI defined and described
		X	Multiple		X	6	8 subtypes of inappropriate pauses descriptions
		X	Multiple	X		1	SDCS
		X	Multiple	X		2	SDCSS for individual
Shriberg et al. (2017b)	X	X	Multiple		X	2	17 MSAP tasks
	X		Vowels		X	3	PVC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for CAS groups
	X	X	Consonants		X	3	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for CAS groups
		X	Pauses		X	3	Opportunities; Inappropriate Type I; PM score for CAS groups
	X	X	SPMS		X	3	Rate, Stress, Transcoding data for CAS groups
	X		Vowels		X	4	PVC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for AAS groups
	X	X	Consonants		X	4	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for AAS groups
		X	Pauses		X	4	Opportunities; Inappropriate Type I; PM score for AAS groups
	X	X	SPMS		X	4	Rate, Stress, Transcoding data for AAS groups
	X		Vowels		X	5	PVC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for PM+/PM- groups
	X	X	Consonants		X	5	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for PM+/PM- groups

Reference ^a	PepAi	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	ocation		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
		X	Pauses		X	5	Opportunities; Inappropriate Type I; PM score for PM+/PM- groups
	X	X	SPMS		X	5	Rate, Stress, Transcoding data for PM+/PM- groups
Shriberg et al. (2017c)	X	X	Consonants		X	2	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for CAS, PPAOS, and SD groups
	X	X	Consonants - SRT		X	3	Performance, Encoding, Memory, and Transcoding raw and z-sores for CAS, AAS, and SD groups
	X	X	Pauses, PVSP		X	4	Groping, Repetition/revision pauses, and PVSP repetition/revision raw and z-scores for CAS, AAS, and SD groups
		X	PSI, DMI		X	5	PSI and DMI (place/duration/addition changes) raw and z-sores for CAS, AAS, and SD groups
	X		Rate		X	6	Speaking and articulation rate raw and z-scores for CAS, AAS, and SD groups
	X		Stress			6	Sentential stress raw and z-scores for CAS, AAS, and SD groups
		X	Multiple	X		1	SDCS
		X	Pauses	X		2	Bar graphs for Appropriate and Inappropriate Abrupt pauses for SD, CAS&CND, and AAS groups
Shriberg et al. (2017d)		X	PM		X	1	PM Non-marginal and Marginal counts for CAS, CND, AAS, Longitudinal participants, and SD groups
	X	X	Consonants		X	1	PCC raw values (<i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> , Range) for CAS, CND, AAS, Longitudinal participants, and SD groups
		X	SCI		X	2	Pearson r correlation coefficients for CAS and AAS
		X	PSI		X	2	participants with nonmarginal PM+ scores by CPSA
	X	X	Multiple		X	2	and Transcription/PVSP/Acoustic methods of data reduction
	X	X	SPMS		X	3	SPMS Signs scores and classification for Longitudinal participants
		X	PM			3	PM scores and classification for Longitudinal participants
		X	PM	X		1	PM scores plotted low to high for participants with CAS, DS, GALT, CNDs, AOS, and PPAOS

Reference ^a	PepAi	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	ocation		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
		X	PMI	X		3	Bar graphs of PMI categories for participants with CAS, CND, and AAS
	X	X	PVSP	X		4	PVSP Profiles for CAS participants by PMI category
	X	X	PVSP	X		5	PVSP Profiles for CND participants by PMI category
	X	X	PVSP	X		6	PVSP Profiles for AAS participants by PMI category
Carrigg et al. (2016)	X	X	SRT		X	5	Median and range scores on the SRT for Persistent and Resolved SSD groups
Vick et al. (2014)		X	Multiple	X		1	SDCS
Shriberg et al. (2012)	X	X	TLDA		X	2	TLDA signs for classification of CAS and DYS.
	X		Vowels		X	3	PVC raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Consonants		X	3	PCC raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Vowels & Consonants		X	3	PPC and II raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Phrasing		X	3	% Appropriate Phrasing raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Rate		X	3	% Appropriate Rate raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Stress		X	3	% Appropriate Stress raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Loudness		X	3	% Appropriate Loudness raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Pitch		X	3	% Appropriate Pitch raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Laryngeal quality		X	3	% Appropriate LQ raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Resonance quality		X	3	% Appropriate RQ raw values for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	SRT		X	4	Correlations for SRT Encoding, Memory, Transcoding, and Competence scores for participants

Referencea	PepAr	nalyses	Domain or Measure ^b	L	Location		Output(s)
	PepAssess	PepClass		Figure	Table	No.	
							with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	Multiple and SRT		X	5	Correlations for SRT Encoding, Memory, Transcoding, and Competence scores with speech- prosody measures (PVC, PCC, II, Phrasing, Rate, Stress) for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
	X	X	SRT		X	5	Pair-wise comparisons for SRT Encoding, Memory, Transcoding, and Competence scores for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
		X	Multiple	X		1	SDCS
	X	X	SRT	X		2	Box plots for percentage of Encoding, Memory, Transcoding, and Competence for participants with typical speech, SD, typical language, LI, and CAS
Shriberg, Paul et al. (2011)	X	X	PVSP	X		2	PVSP Profiles for participants with ASD, Typical Development, SD, and CAS.
Shriberg (2010)		X	Multiple	X		1-2	SDCS
	X		Consonants	X		1-7	PCCR Profiles for two OME study groups

^a See **REFERENCES** section.
^b Ten Linguistic Domains (Shriberg et al., 2010).

REFERENCES

- Baylis, A. L., & Shriberg, L. D. (2018). Estimates of the prevalence of speech and motor speech disorders in youth with 22q11.2 Deletion syndrome. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. Advance online publication. doi: 10.1044/2018 AJSLP-18-0037.
- Carrigg, B., Parry, L., Baker, E., Shriberg, L. D., & Ballard, K. J. (2016). Cognitive, linguistic, and motor abilities in a multigenerational family with Childhood Apraxia of Speech. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 31,* 1006-1025.
- Shriberg, L. D. (2010). Childhood speech sound disorders: From post-behaviorism to the post-genomic era. In R. Paul & P. Flipsen (Eds), *Speech sound disorders in children* (pp. 1-34). San Diego, CA: Plural Publishing.
- Shriberg, L. D., Campbell, T. F., Mabie H. L., & McGlothlin, J. H. (2019). Initial studies of the phenotype and persistence of Speech Motor Delay (SMD). *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 33, 737-756. doi:10.1080/02699206.2019.1595733. [Epub ahead of print].
- Shriberg, L. D., Fourakis, M., Hall, S., Karlsson, H. B., Lohmeier, H. L, McSweeny, J., Potter, N. L., Scheer-Cohen, A. R., Strand, E. A., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2010). Extensions to the Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS). *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, *24*, 795-824.
- Shriberg, L. D., Kwiatkowski, J., & Mabie, H. L. (2019). Estimates of the prevalence of motor speech disorders in children with idiopathic speech delay. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, *33*, 679-706. doi:10.1080/02699206.2019.1595731. [Epub ahead of print].
- Shriberg, L. D., Lohmeier, H. L., Strand, E. A., & Jakielski, K. J. (2012). Encoding, memory, and transcoding deficits in Childhood Apraxia of Speech. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, *26*, 445-482.
- Shriberg, L. D., Paul, R., Black, L. M., & van Santen, J. P. (2011). The hypothesis of apraxia of speech in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *41*, 405-426.
- Shriberg, L. D., Strand, E. A., Fourakis, M., Jakielski, K. J., Hall, S. D., Karlsson, H. B., Mabie, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2017). A diagnostic marker to discriminate childhood apraxia of speech from speech delay: I. Development and description of the Pause Marker. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 60,* S1096-S1117.

- Shriberg, L. D., Strand, E. A., Fourakis, M., Jakielski, K. J., Hall, S. D., Karlsson, H. B., Mabie, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2017). A diagnostic marker to discriminate childhood apraxia of speech from speech delay: II. Validity studies of the Pause Marker. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 60, S1118-S1134.
- Shriberg, L. D., Strand, E. A., Fourakis, M., Jakielski, K. J., Hall, S. D., Karlsson, H. B., Mabie, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2017). A diagnostic marker to discriminate childhood apraxia of speech from speech delay: III. Theoretical coherence of the Pause Marker with speech processing deficits in Childhood Apraxia of Speech. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 60,* S1135-S1152.
- Shriberg, L. D., Strand, E. A., Fourakis, M., Jakielski, K. J., Hall, S. D., Karlsson, H. B., Mabie, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2017). A diagnostic marker to discriminate childhood apraxia of speech from speech delay: IV. The Pause Marker Index. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 60,* S1153-S1169.
- Shriberg, L. D., Strand, E. A., Jakielski, K. J., & Mabie, H. L. (2019). Estimates of the prevalence of speech and motor speech disorders in persons with complex neurodevelopmental disorders. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, *33*, 707-736. doi:10.1080/02699206.2019.1595732. [Epub ahead of print].
- Shriberg, L. D., & Wren, Y. E. (2019). A frequent acoustic sign of Speech Motor Delay (SMD). *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 33, 757-771. doi:10.1080/02699206.2019.1595734. [Epub ahead of print].
- Vick, J.C., Campbell, T.C., Shriberg, L.D., Green, J.R., Truemper, K., Rusiewicz, H.L., & Moore, C.A. (2014). Data-driven subclassification of speech sound disorders in preschool children. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 57*, 2033-2050.
- Wilson, E. M., Abbeduto, L., Camarata, S. M., & Shriberg, L. D. (2019a). Estimates of the prevalence of speech and motor speech disorders in adolescents with Down syndrome. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 33, 772-789. doi:10.1080/02699206.2019.1595735. [Epub ahead of print].
- Wilson, E. M., Abbeduto, L., Camarata, S. M., & Shriberg, L. D. (2019b). Speech and motor speech disorders and intelligibility in adolescents with Down syndrome. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 33, 790-814. doi:10.1080/02699206.2019.1595736. [Epub ahead of print].

SECTION III:

SOME REFERENCE DATA FOR PEPANALYSES OUTPUTS

The last section of this guide includes Tables of Contents from ten Phonology Project Technical Reports. These reports provide standardized reference data for measures in the PepAssess and PepClass outputs. The reference data include statistical information for typical speakers, speakers with idiopathic speech delay, and speakers with speech delay in the context of complex neurodevelopmental disorders. The page numbers in each table of contents should be helpful to locate within each reference, information by measure, age, and sex (see RESEARCH > TECHNICAL REPORTS on the Phonology Project website:

https://phonology.waisman.wisc.edu/publications-and-presentations/technical-reports/).

REFERENCE DATA FOR

THE SYLLABLE REPETITION TASK (SRT)

Technical Report No. 17

Heather L. Lohmeier

Lawrence D. Shriberg

Revised November 2011

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Development of the Syllable Repetition Task (SRT) and preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and the Australian Research Council Discovery Grant (DP0773978). We thank the following colleagues for their contributions to the development and validation of the SRT and/or for their contribution of reference data obtained in the context of collaborative research: Richard Boada, Roger Brown, Thomas Campbell, Christine Dollaghan, Lisa Freebairn, Jordan Green, Linda J. Harrison, Christine Hollar, Joan Kwiatkowski, Barbara Lewis, Lindy McAllister, Jane McCormack, Sharynne McLeod, Jane McSweeny, Christopher Moore, Bruce Pennington, Steven Pittelko, Heather Leavy Rusiewicz, Christine Tilkens, Sonja Wilson, and David Wilson.

Overview: The Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	
A. Overviews of Prior SRT Papers	4
B. Overview of the Present Technical Report	6
. Reference Data for Participants with Typical Speech and Typical Language	
A. Graphic SRT Data (means, standard deviations)	
SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Consonants SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Items	11 12 13
B. Tabular SRT Data (means; standard deviations)	
 Source A – Individual Ages. Source A – Combined Ages. Source BCD – Individual Ages. Source BCD – Combined Ages. 	16 17
I. Reference Data for Participants with Speech Delay and Typical Language	
A. Graphic SRT Data (means, standard deviations)	
SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Consonants SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Items	20 21 22
B. Tabular SRT Data (means; standard deviations)	
1. Source A – Individual Ages	26
4. Source BCD – Combined Ages	21

III. Reference Data for Participants with Typical Speech and Language Impairment	
A. Graphic SRT Data (means, standard deviations)	
 SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Consonants SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Items SRT Processes Data: Encoding 	29
4. SRT Processes Data: Encoding	31
	32
B. Tabular SRT Data (means; standard deviations)	
1. Source A – Individual Ages	
2. Source A – Combined Ages	34
IV. Reference Data for Participants with Speech Delay and Language Impairment	
A. Graphic SRT Data (means, standard deviations)	
1. SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Consonants	
2. SRT Performance Data: Percentage of Correctly Repeated Items	
3. SRT Processes Data: Encoding	
4. SRT Processes Data: Memorial	
3. SK1 Flocesses Data. Transcoding	39
B. Tabular SRT Data (means; standard deviations)	
1. Source A – Individual Ages	
2. Source A – Combined Ages	
3. Source BCD – Individual Ages	
4. Source BCD – Combined Ages	43
V. References	44

Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP):

A Database of 150 Participants 3-to-18 Years of Age with Typical Speech

Technical Report No. 18

Nancy L. Potter

Sheryl Hall

Heather B. Karlsson

Marios Fourakis

Heather L. Lohmeier

Jane L. McSweeny

Christine M. Tilkens

David L. Wilson

Lawrence D. Shriberg

May, 2012

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator).

Background	8
Method	9
Participants	
Procedures	
Organization and current number of SDCS signs	
References	12
Key to Sources and Measurement Units/Abbreviations	13
Reference Data: Segmental	
I. Vowels/Diphthongs	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	14
1b. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Graphic	15
2a. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	16
2b. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Graphic	17
3a. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	18
3b. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct: Graphic	
4a. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	
4b. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct (AT): Graphic	
4c. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct (CSS): Graphic	
5a. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular.	
5b. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Graphic.	
6a. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
6b. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Graphic	
7a. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
7b. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Graphic	
8a. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
8b. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised (AT): Graphic	
8c. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised (CSS): Graphic	
9a. Percentage of Relative Non-Rhotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distort: Tabular	
9b. Percentage of Relative Non-Rhotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distort: Graphic	33
B. Precision	
1. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	
2. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Reduced Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3	
6. Reduced Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L	
7 Lengthened Vowels: Corners	40

8. Lengthened Vowels: Non-Rhotic Mids	41
9. Lengthened Vowels: Phonemic Diphthongs	
10. Reduced Vowel Substitution Coefficients	
11. Increased Distorted Vowel Substitutions	44
12. Less Precise Diphthongs (5 points)	
13. Less Precise Diphthongs (3 points)	
14. Distorted Rhotics: F3-F2	
15. Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2	
16. Reduced Pairwise Vowel Duration Variability	
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	50
2. Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Less Stable Vowel Space: /I, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3	
6. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L	
7. Less Stable F1: /i/	
8. Less Stable F1: /æ/	
9. Less Stable F1: /u/	
10. Less Stable F1: /ɑ/	
11. Less Stable F2: /i/	
12. Less Stable F2: /æ/	
13. Less Stable F2: /u/	
14. Less Stable F2: /d/	
15. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners	
16. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Non-Rhotic Mids	
17. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs	
18. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2	
19. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/F2	
20. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets	
21. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Types	
II. Consonants	
A. Competence	71
1a. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory: Tabular	
1b. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory: Graphic	
2a. Percentage of Consonants Correct: Tabular	
2b. Percentage of Consonants Correct (AT): Graphic	
2c. Percentage of Consonants Correct (CSS): Graphic	
3a. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised: Tabular	
3b. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised (AT): Graphic	
3c. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised (CSS): Graphic	
4a. SRT Total Percentage Consonants Correct-Revised: Tabular	79

4b. SRT Total Percentage Consonants Correct-Revised: Graphic	80
5a. Percentage Consonants Correct in Complex Words: Tabular	81
5b. Percentage Consonants Correct in Complex Words: Graphic	
6a. Relative Omission Index: Tabular	83
6b. Relative Omission Index: Graphic	84
7a. Relative Substitution Index: Tabular	85
7b. Relative Substitution Index: Graphic	86
8a. Relative Distortion Index: Tabular	87
8b. Relative Distortion Index: Graphic	88
B. Precision	
1. Nasal Emissions	
2. Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct	
3. Increased Percentage of /j/ Deletions in Clusters	
4. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint	
5. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1	
6. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster	
7. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant	
8. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant	
9. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions	
10. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptio	
11. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions	
12. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions	
13. SRT Transcoding	101
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets	102
2. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types	
3. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint	
4. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1	
1. Less stude stollant centrolas. Maximum M1	105
III. Vowels/Diphthongs & Consonants	
r	
A. Competence	
1a. Intelligibility Index: Tabular	106
1b. Intelligibility Index: Graphic	
2a. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: Tabular	108
2b. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: Graphic	109
B. Precision	
1. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place	
2. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions	
3. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration	
4. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force	
5. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type	118

C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets	119
2. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types	120
3. Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words	
4. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token	
Reference Data: Suprasegmental	
IV. Phrasing	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing: Tabular	
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing: Graphic	124
B. Precision	
1. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 2-4 Word Utterances	125
2. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 5-7 Word Utterances	126
3. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 8+ Word Utterances	127
4. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: All Utterances	128
5. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Percentage of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses	s129
6. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Duration of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses	
7. Increased Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses	131
8. Increased Percentage of Pauses	132
9. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 2-4 Word Utterances	133
10. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 5-7 Word Utterances	s134
11. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 8+ Word Utterances	135
12. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: All Utterances	136
V. Rate	
A. Commeton of	
A. Competence	127
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Rate: Tabular	
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Rate: Graphic	130
B. Precision	
1. Slower Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	139
2. Slower Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	
3. Slower Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
4. Slower Speaking Rate: All Utterances	
5. Slower Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	
6. Slower Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	
7. Slower Articluation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
8. Slower Articulation Rate: All Utterances	146

C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	147
2. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	
3. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
4. Less Stable Speaking Rate: All Utterances	
5. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	
6. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	152
7. Less Stable Articluation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
8. Less Stable Articulation Rate: All Utterances	
VI. Stress	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Stress: Tabular	155
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Stress: Graphic	
B. Precision	
1. Lexical Stress: Trochees	
2. Lexical Stress: All	
3. Emphatic Stress	159
4. Sentential Stress	160
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Lexical Stress: Trochees	
2. Less Stable Lexical Stress: All	
3. Less Stable Emphatic Stress	
4. Less Stable Sentential Stress	164
VII. Loudness	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness: Tabular	165
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness: Graphic	
B. Precision	
1. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops	167
2. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fricatives	
3. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All	169
C. Stability	4=-
1. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops	
2. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fric	
3. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All	172

VIII. Pitch

A. Competence 1a. Percentage of Appropriate Pitch: Tabular 1b. Percentage of Appropriate Pitch: Graphic	
B. Precision 1. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Mean 2. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Range	
C. Stability 1. Less Stable Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency	177
IX. Laryngeal Quality	
A. Competence 1a. Percentage of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality: Tabular 1b. Percentage of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality: Graphic	
B. Precision 1. Percentage of Breathy Utterances 2. Percentage of Rough Utterances 3. Percentage of Strained Utterances 4. Percentage of Break/Shift/Tremulous Utterances	181 182
X. Resonance	
A. Competence 1a. Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality: Tabular 1b. Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality: Graphic	
B. Precision 1. Percentage of Nasal Utterances 2. Lowered /d/ F1 (Nasal) 3. Percentage of Nasopharyngeal Utterances 4. Lowered /l/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal) 5. Lowered /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)	187 188 189
C. Stability 1. Less Stable /d/ F1 (Nasal)	192

Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP):

A Database of 28 Participants, 3-to-6 Years of Age, with Speech Delay

Technical Report No. 19

Jane L. McSweeny

Marios Fourakis

Sheryl D. Hall

Heather B. Karlsson

Heather L. Lohmeier

Christine M. Tilkens

David L. Wilson

Lawrence D. Shriberg

June, 2012

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

The preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and by a core grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Development (HD03352) to the Waisman Center.

9	
Procedures	
Participants	
Summary	
References	14
Key to Sources (Tasks), Measurement Units, Al	bbreviations, and Symbols15
Reference Data: Segmental	
I. Vowels/Diphthongs	16
A. Competence	
1. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vo	1 0
2. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/	1 0
3. Percentage of Phonemic Diph	
4. Percentage of Vowels/Diphtho	wels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised
6. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/	<u>. </u>
7. Percentage of Phonemic Diph	1 0
8. Percentage of Vowels/Diphtho	-
9. Percentage of Relative Non-R	hotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distortions
B. Precision	
1. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadr	
2. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadr	
3. Reduced Vowel Space: Distant4. Reduced Vowel Space: Distant	
5. Reduced Vowel Space: /i, æ,	
<u>-</u>	, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L
7. Lengthened Vowels: Corners	
8. Lengthened Vowels: Non-Rho	
9. Lengthened Vowels: Phonemi	<u> - </u>
10. Reduced Vowel Substitution 11. Increased Distorted Vowel S	
12. Less Precise Diphthongs (5 p	
13. Less Precise Diphthongs (3 p	
14. Distorted Rhotics: F3-F2	
15. Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2	
16. Reduced Pairwise Vowel Du	ration Variability
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Vowel Space: Qua	
2. Less Stable Vowel Space: Qua	
3. Less Stable Vowel Space: Dis	tance from Center VSD

4. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL
5. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, o/ Distance from Center VSD3
6. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L
7. Less Stable F1: /i/
8. Less Stable F1: /æ/
9. Less Stable F1: /u/
10. Less Stable F1: /g/
11. Less Stable F2: /i/
12. Less Stable F2: /æ/
13. Less Stable F2: /U/
14. Less Stable F2: /g/
15. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners
16. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Non-Rhotic Mids
17. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs
18. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2
19. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/F2
20. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets
21. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Types
21. Less Studie Vower Effors. Types
II. Consonants
A. Competence
1. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory
2. Percentage of Consonants Correct
3. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised
4. SRT Total Percentage Consonants Correct-Revised
5. Percentage Consonants Correct in Complex Words
6. Relative Omission Index
7. Relative Substitution Index
8. Relative Distortion Index
D. Duradalan
B. Precision
1. Nasal Emissions
2. Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct
3. Increased Percentage of /j/ Deletions in Clusters
4. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint
5. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1
6. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster
7. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant
8. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant
9. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions
10. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions
11. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions
12. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions
13. SRT Transcoding
C. Stability
1. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets
2. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types

3. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint4. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1
III. Vowels/Diphthongs & Consonants
A. Competence1. Intelligibility Index: Tabular2. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: Tabular
 B. Precision 1. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place 2. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions 3. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration 4. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force 5. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type
 C. Stability 1. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets 2. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types 3. Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words 4. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token
Reference Data: Suprasegmental
IV. Phrasing
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing
 B. Precision Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 2-4 Word Utterances Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 5-7 Word Utterances Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 8+ Word Utterances Increased Repetitions and Revisions: All Utterances Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Percentage of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Duration of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses Increased Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses Increased Percentage of Pauses Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 2-4 Word Utterances Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 5-7 Word Utterances Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 8+ Word Utterances Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: All Utterances
V. Rate26
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Rate

В	 Precision Slower Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances Slower Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances Slower Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances Slower Speaking Rate: All Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: All Utterances
C	 Stability Less Stable Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances Less Stable Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances Less Stable Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances Less Stable Speaking Rate: All Utterances Less Stable Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances Less Stable Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances Less Stable Articulation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances Less Stable Articulation Rate: All Utterances
Α	tress
В	 Precision Lexical Stress: Trochees Lexical Stress: All Emphatic Stress Sentential Stress
C	1. Less Stable Lexical Stress: Trochees 2. Less Stable Lexical Stress: All 3. Less Stable Emphatic Stress 4. Less Stable Sentential Stress
VII.	Loudness
A	1. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness
В	 Precision Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fricatives Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All

 C. Stability 1. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops 2. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fric 3. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All
VIII. Pitch
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Pitch
B. Precision1. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Mean2. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Range
C. Stability 1. Less Stable Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency
IX. Laryngeal Quality
A. Competence 1a. Percentage of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality
 B. Precision 1. Percentage of Breathy Utterances 2. Percentage of Rough Utterances 3. Percentage of Strained Utterances 4. Percentage of Break/Shift/Tremulous Utterances
X. Resonance
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality
 B. Precision 1. Percentage of Nasal Utterances 2. Lowered / G/F1 (Nasal) 3. Percentage of Nasopharyngeal Utterances 4. Lowered / F2 (Nasopharyngeal) 5. Lowered / U/F2 (Nasopharyngeal)
C. Stability 1. Less Stable /d/ F1 (Nasal) 2. Less Stable /i/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal) 3. Less Stable /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)

Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP):

A Database of Fifty 20-to-80 Year Participants with Typical Speech

Technical Report No. 20

Alison R. Scheer-Cohen

Andrew S. Holt

Heather B. Karlsson

Heather L. Mabie

Jane L. McSweeny

Christine M. Tilkens

Lawrence D. Shriberg

November, 2013

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator)

Background	8
Method Participants Assessment	9
Organization and current number of SDCS signs	
References	12
Key to Sources and Measurement Units/Abbreviations	13
Reference Data: Segmental	
I. Vowels/Diphthongs	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	14
1b. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Graphic	
2a. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	16
2b. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Graphic	17
3a. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	18
3b. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct: Graphic	19
4a. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: Tabular	
4b. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct (AT): Graphic	
4c. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct (CSS): Graphic	
5a. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
5b. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Graphic	
6a. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
6b. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Graphic	
7a. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
7b. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Graphic	
8a. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: Tabular	
8b. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised (AT): Graphic	
8c. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised (CSS): Graphic	
9a. Percentage of Relative Non-Rhotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distort: Tabular	
9b. Percentage of Relative Non-Rhotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distort: Graphic	33
B. Precision	
1. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	
2. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Reduced Vowel Space: /i, æ, ɑ/ Distance from Center VSD3	
6. Reduced Vowel Space: / æ . a/ Distance from Center VSD3L	39

7. Lengthened Vowels: Corners	40
8. Lengthened Vowels: Non-Rhotic Mids	
9. Lengthened Vowels: Phonemic Diphthongs	
10. Reduced Vowel Substitution Coefficients	
11. Increased Distorted Vowel Substitutions	
12. Less Precise Diphthongs (5 points)	
13. Less Precise Diphthongs (3 points)	
14. Distorted Rhotics: F3-F2	
15. Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2	
16. Reduced Pairwise Vowel Duration Variability	
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	50
2. Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3	
6. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L	
7. Less Stable F1: //	
8. Less Stable F1: /æ/	
9. Less Stable F1: /u/	58
10. Less Stable F1: /ɑ/	59
11. Less Stable F2: /i/	60
12. Less Stable F2: /æ/	61
13. Less Stable F2: /u/	62
14. Less Stable F2: /ɑ/	63
15. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners	64
16. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Non-Rhotic Mids	65
17. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs	66
18. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2	
19. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/F2	68
20. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets	69
21. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Types	70
II. Consonants	
A. Competence	_
1a. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory: Tabular	
1b. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory: Graphic	
2a. Percentage of Consonants Correct: Tabular	
2b. Percentage of Consonants Correct (AT): Graphic	
2c. Percentage of Consonants Correct (CSS): Graphic	
3a. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised: Tabular	
3b. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised (AT): Graphic	
3c. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised (CSS): Graphic	78

4a. SRT Total Percentage Consonants Correct-Revised: Tabular	79
4b. SRT Total Percentage Consonants Correct-Revised: Graphic	80
5a. Percentage Consonants Correct in Complex Words: Tabular	81
5b. Percentage Consonants Correct in Complex Words: Graphic	82
6a. Relative Omission Index: Tabular	83
6b. Relative Omission Index: Graphic	84
7a. Relative Substitution Index: Tabular	85
7b. Relative Substitution Index: Graphic	86
8a. Relative Distortion Index: Tabular	87
8b. Relative Distortion Index: Graphic	88
B. Precision	
1. Nasal Emissions	
2. Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct	
3. Increased Percentage of /j/ Deletions in Clusters	
4. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint	92
5. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1	
6. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster	
7. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant	
8. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonan	
9. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions	
10. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of S-F/F-S Cl Disru	-
11. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruption	
12. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disrupt	
13. SRT Transcoding	101
C. Stability	
C. Stability 1. Loss Stable Consenant Errors: Targets	102
1. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets	
2. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types3. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint	
4. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1	
4. Less Stable Sibilant Centrolds. Maximum M11	103
III. Vowels/Diphthongs & Consonants	
iii. Vowels Diphenongs & Consonants	
A. Competence	
1a. Intelligibility Index: Tabular	106
1b. Intelligibility Index: Graphic	
2a. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: Tabular	
2b. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: Graphic	
B. Precision	
1. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place	110
2. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions	
3. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration	
4. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force	116
5. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type	118

C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets	119
2. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types	120
3. Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words	
4. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token	
in increased recent of Edvin in circus by token in infiliation.	122
Reference Data: Suprasegmental	
IV. Phrasing	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing: Tabular	123
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing: Graphic	124
B. Precision	
1. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 2-4 Word Utterances	125
2. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 5-7 Word Utterances	126
3. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 8+ Word Utterances	127
4. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: All Utterances	128
5. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Percentage of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses	129
6. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Duration of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses	
7. Increased Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses	
8. Increased Percentage of Pauses	132
9. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 2-4 Word Utterances.	133
10. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 5-7 Word Utterances	
11. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 8+ Word Utterances.	
12. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: All Utterances	
V. Rate	
A. Competence	105
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Rate: Tabular	
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Rate: Graphic	138
B. Precision	
1. Slower Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	139
2. Slower Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	140
3. Slower Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
4. Slower Speaking Rate: All Utterances	
5. Slower Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	
6. Slower Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	144
7. Slower Articluation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	145
8. Slower Articulation Rate: All Utterances	146

C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	147
2. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	
3. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
4. Less Stable Speaking Rate: All Utterances	
5. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances	
6. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances	152
7. Less Stable Articluation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances	
8. Less Stable Articulation Rate: All Utterances	
VI. Stress	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Stress: Tabular	155
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Stress: Graphic	
B. Precision	
1. Lexical Stress: Trochees	
2. Lexical Stress: All	
3. Emphatic Stress	159
4. Sentential Stress	160
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Lexical Stress: Trochees	
2. Less Stable Lexical Stress: All	
3. Less Stable Emphatic Stress	
4. Less Stable Sentential Stress	164
VII. Loudness	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness: Tabular	165
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness: Graphic	
B. Precision	
1. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops	167
2. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fricatives	
3. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All	169
C. Stability	4=-
1. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops	
2. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fric	
3. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All	172

VIII. Pitch

1a. Percentage of Appropriate Pitch: Tabular	
B. Precision 1. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Mean 2. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Range	
C. Stability 1. Less Stable Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency	177
IX. Laryngeal Quality	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality: Tabular	
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality: Graphic	179
B. Precision	
1. Percentage of Breathy Utterances	180
2. Percentage of Rough Utterances	
3. Percentage of Strained Utterances	182
4. Percentage of Break/Shift/Tremulous Utterances	183
X. Resonance	
A. Competence	
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality: Tabular	184
1b. Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality: Graphic	185
B. Precision	
1. Percentage of Nasal Utterances	186
2. Lowered /d/ F1 (Nasal)	
3. Percentage of Nasopharyngeal Utterances	188
4. Lowered /i/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)	
5. Lowered /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)	190
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable /a/ F1 (Nasal)	
2. Less Stable /i/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)	192
3. Less Stable /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)	193

Conversational Speech Reference Data for Children with Speech Delay:

A Database of 180 Participants, 3-to-5 Years of Age

Technical Report No. 21

Heather L. Mabie

Marios Fourakis

Sheryl D. Hall

Heather B. Karlsson

Jane L. McSweeny

Christine M. Tilkens

David L. Wilson

Lawrence D. Shriberg

February, 2015

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

The preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and by a core grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Development (HD03352) to the Waisman Center.

Background	8
Method	
Participants	
Procedures	
References	14
Key to Sources (Tasks), Measurement Units, Abbreviations, and Symbols	16
Reference Data: Segmental	
I. Vowels/Diphthongs	17
A. Competence	
1. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct	
2. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct	
3. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct	
4. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct	
5. Percentage of Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised	
6. Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised	
7. Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct-Revised	
8. Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised	_
9. Percentage of Relative Non-Rhotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distortions	}
B. Precision	
1. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	
2. Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Reduced Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3 6. Reduced Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L	
7. Lengthened Vowels: Corners	
8. Lengthened Vowels: Non-Rhotic Mids	
9. Lengthened Vowels: Phonemic Diphthongs	
10. Reduced Vowel Substitution Coefficients	
11. Distorted Rhotics: F3-F2	
12. Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2	
13. Reduced Pairwise Vowel Duration Variability	
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	
2. Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i , æ , ɑ/ Distance from Center VSD3	

6. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L 7. Less Stable F1: /i/ 8. Less Stable F1: /æ/ 9. Less Stable F1: /u/ 10. Less Stable F1: /d/ 11. Less Stable F2: /i/ 12. Less Stable F2: /æ/ 13. Less Stable F2: /u/ 14. Less Stable F2: /d/ 15. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners 16. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Non-Rhotic Mids 17. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs 18. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2 19. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/F2 20. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets 21. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Types
II. Consonants
 A. Competence 1. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory 2. Percentage of Consonants Correct 3. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised 4. Relative Omission Index 5. Relative Substitution Index 6. Relative Distortion Index
 Precision Nasal Emissions Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct Increased Percentage of /j/ Deletions in Clusters Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1 Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions
 C. Stability 1. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets 2. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types 3. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint 4. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1

III. Vowels/Diphthongs & Consonants	22
A. Competence	
1. Intelligibility Index	
2. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words	
B. Precision	
1. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place	
2. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions	
3. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration	
4. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force	
5. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type	
C. Stability	
1. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets	
2. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types	
3. Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words	
4. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token	
Reference Data: Suprasegmental	
IV. Phrasing	23
A. Competence	
1. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing	
B. Precision	
1. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 2-4 Word Utterances	
2. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 5-7 Word Utterances	
3. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 8+ Word Utterances	
4. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: All Utterances	
5. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Percentage of Btwn/Within-Wd F	Pauses
6. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Duration of Btwn/Within-Wd Pau	
7. Increased Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses	
8. Increased Percentage of Pauses	
9. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 2-4 Word Uttera	ınces
10. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 5-7 Word Utter	rances
11. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 8+ Word Utters	
12. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: All Utterances	
V. Rate	25
A. Competence	
1. Percentage of Appropriate Rate	

 Slower Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances Slower Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances Slower Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances Slower Speaking Rate: All Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances Slower Articulation Rate: All Utterances
C. Stability
1. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances
2. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances
3. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances
4. Less Stable Speaking Rate: All Utterances
5. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances6. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances
7. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances
8. Less Stable Articulation Rate: All Utterances
o. Less Stable Philodianon Plate. Pin Otterances
VI. Stress
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Stress
B. Precision
1. Sentential Stress
C. Stability
1. Less Stable Sentential Stress
VII. Loudness
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness
 B. Precision 1. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops 2. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fricatives 3. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All
C. Stability 1. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops

B. Precision

	ble Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fric ble Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All	
VIII. Pitch		29
A. Competend 1. Percent	e ge of Appropriate Pitch	
_	t Fundamental Frequency Mean t Fundamental Frequency Range	
C. Stability 1. Less Sta	ble Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency	
IX. Laryngeal Qu	ılity	30
A. Competend 1. Percent	e ge of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality	
 Percent Percent Percent Percent Percent 	ge of Breathy Utterances ge of Rough Utterances ge of Strained Utterances ge of Break/Shift/Tremulous Utterances ge of Jitter ge of Shimmer ics-to-Noise Ratio	
	ble Jitter ble Shimmer ble Harmonics-to-Noise Ratio	
X. Resonance		31
A. Competend 1. Percent	e ge of Appropriate Resonance Quality	
2. Lowere3. Percent4. Lowere	ge of Nasal Utterances I/d/F1 (Nasal) ge of Nasopharyngeal Utterances I/i/F2 (Nasopharyngeal) I/u/F2 (Nasopharyngeal)	

C. Stability

- 1. Less Stable / G/ F1 (Nasal)
- 2. Less Stable /i/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)
 3. Less Stable /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)

A Diagnostic Marker to Discriminate Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS)

from Speech Delay (SD): The Pause Marker

Technical Report No. 22

Christie M. Tilkens

Heather B. Karlsson

Marios Fourakis

Sheryl D. Hall

Heather L. Mabie

Jane L. McSweeny

David L. Wilson

Lawrence D. Shriberg

April, 2017

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and by a core grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Development (HD03352) to the Waisman Center.

CONTENTS

Overview	
Research Backgrou	nd for the Pause Marker
Definition, Procedu	res, and Computation of the Pause Marker
	rms for the Pause Marker (PM), the Supplemental Pause Marker Signs ase Marker Index (PMI)
	hic Exemplars for the Four Type I and Four Type II Inappropriate
[see PowerPoint ti	tled: A Diagnostic Marker to Discriminate Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) from Speech Delay (SD): The Pause Marker]
	Findings for the Pause Marker, the Supplemental Pause Marker Signs, er Index
Childhood Apraxia	n of participants in 15 speaker groups ($n = 592$) including groups with of Speech (CAS), and Adult-onset Apraxia of Speech (AAS), Complex al Disorders (CND), and Speech Delay (SD)
	nd duration of a sample of appropriate and inappropriate pauses in three
speaker groups. As	ad duration of a sample of Type I and Type II inappropriate pauses in three described in Shriberg et al. (2017a), pauses may meet classification criteria type of inappropriate pause
Table 4. Pause Man	ker Index (PMI) classifications for participants in 12 speaker groups14
in three speaker gro	of occurrence of inappropriate pause types by PMI classification oups. All participants in each group met non-marginal PM criteria for apraxia of
speaker groups clas	Rate, Articulation Rate, and Pause Time data for participants in three sified by PMI level. All participants had non-marginal PM+ scores (i.e., apraxia of speech)

Part IV. An Acoustic Study of the Pause Marker	18
Table 7. Descriptive statistics and effect size estimates comparing two possible acoustic correlates of pauses followed by a non-abrupt speech onset (NAB) or an abrupt speech onset (AB)	20
	20
References	24

Speech and Motor Speech Measures and Reference Data for the Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS)

Technical Report No. 23

Heather L. Mabie

Lawrence D. Shriberg

November, 2017

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and in part by a core grant to the Waisman Center from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (P30 HD03352).

Part I. Background and Measures	5
The Phonology Project	5
Phonology Project Technical Reports	5
The Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS)	6
Reference Databases	10
Standardization Criteria	15
Abbreviations	16
Part II. Speech Competence Measures and Summaries	18
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	18
Sample PEPPER Output	18
Rationale and Description	18
Reference Data	21
Idiopathic Speech Delay	22
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	23
Sample PEPPER Output	23
Rationale and Description	
Ordinal Intelligibility Index Findings	
Reference Data	26
II: Idiopathic Speech Delay	27
OII: Typical Speakers	28
OII: Idiopathic Speech Delay	30
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	31
Sample PEPPER Output	31
Rationale and Description	
Reference Data	
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	34
Sample PEPPER Output	34
Rationale and Description	

Reference Data	39
Typical Speakers	
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	70
Sample PEPPER Output	70
Rationale and Description	70
Reference Data	70
Typical Speakers	71
Idiopathic Speech Delay	78
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	80
Sample PEPPER Output	80
Rationale and Description	
Reference Data	83
Part III. Motor Speech Classification Measures and Summaries	159
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure:	
The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	159
Sample PEPPER Output	
Rationale and Description	
Reference Data	
Typical Speakers	
Idiopathic Speech Delay	197
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure:	202
The Dysarthria Index (DI) & Dysarthria Subtypes Indices (DSI)	203
Sample PEPPER Output	
Rationale and Description	
Reference Data	
DI: Typical Speakers	
DSI Ataxia: Typical Speakers	
DSI Spastic: Typical Speakers	
DSI Hyperkinetic: Typical Speakers	
DSI Hypokinetic: Typical Speakers	
DSI Flaccid: Typical Speakers	
DI: Idiopathic Speech Delay	313
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure:	
The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	317
Sample DEDDED Output	317

Rationale and Description	320
Reference Data	321
Typical Speakers	322
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SD	OCSS)324
Sample PEPPER Output	324
Rationale and Description	324
Reference Data	327
References	328

Speech and Motor Speech Assessment Findings

In Eight Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Technical Report No. 24

Lawrence D. Shriberg

Heather L. Mabie

December, 2017

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and in part by a core grant to the Waisman Center from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (P30 HD03352).

Part I. Background	9
The Phonology Project	9
Phonology Project Technical Reports	9
The Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS)	10
Reference Databases, Standardization Criteria, and SDCS Classification Procedures	12
Description of Participants in Eight Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorders (CND)	13
Abbreviations	17
Part II. SDCS Findings in Eight Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorders	19
16p11.2 Deletion and Duplication syndrome	
Speech Measures and Summaries	20
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	21
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	24
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	25
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	28
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	34
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	34
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	37
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	38
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	44

Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	53
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	56
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	57
22q11.2 Deletion syndrome	
Speech Measures and Summaries	60
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	61
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	64
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	65
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	68
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	74
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	74
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	77
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	78
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	84
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	93
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	96
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	97
Autism Spectrum Disorder	
Speech Measures and Summaries	100
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	101

Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	102
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	103
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	104
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	106
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	106
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	107
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	108
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	110
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	113
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	114
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	115
<u>Down syndrome</u>	
Speech Measures and Summaries	116
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	117
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	118
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	119
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	120
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	122
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	122
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	123
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	124

Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	126
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	129
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	130
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	131
Fragile X syndrome	
Speech Measures and Summaries	132
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	133
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	134
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	135
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	136
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	138
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	138
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	139
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	140
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	142
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	145
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	146
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	147

Galactosemia

Speech Measures and Summaries	148
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	149
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	152
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	153
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	156
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	162
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	162
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	165
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	166
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	172
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	181
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	184
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	185
Idiopathic Intellectual Disability	
Speech Measures and Summaries	188
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	189
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	190
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	191
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	192
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	194

Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	194
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	195
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	196
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	198
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	201
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	202
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	203
Traumatic Brain Injury	
Speech Measures and Summaries	204
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	205
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	208
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	209
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	212
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	218
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	218
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	221
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	222
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	228
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	237

Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	240
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	241
References	244

Speech and Motor Speech Characteristics of a Consensus Group of 28 Children with Childhood Apraxia of Speech

Technical Report No. 25

Lawrence D. Shriberg

Edythe A. Strand

February, 2018

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and in part by a core grant to the Waisman Center from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (P30 HD03352).

Part I. Background	5
The Phonology Project	5
Phonology Project Technical Reports	5
The Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS)	6
Reference Databases, Standardization Criteria, and SDCS Classification Procedures	11
Description of 28 Participants with Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS)	11
Abbreviations	12
Part II. Ten SDCS Speech and Motor Speech Outputs	14
A. Speech and Motor Speech Outputs for 28 Participants with CAS or CD & CAS	S 14
Speech Measures and Summaries	15
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	16
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	17
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	18
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	19
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	21
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	21
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	22
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	23
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	25

The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	28
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	29
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	30
B. Speech and Motor Speech Outputs for 15 Participants with CAS	31
Speech Measures and Summaries	32
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	33
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	34
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	35
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	36
Syllable Repetition Task (SRT)	38
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)	38
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	39
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	40
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	42
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	45
Summary Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	46
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	47
C. Speech and Motor Speech Outputs for 13 Participants with CD and CAS	48
Speech Measures and Summaries	49
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	50

II	itelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	••••
P	rosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	••••
\mathbf{S}_1	peech Competence Index (SCI)	
S	yllable Repetition Task (SRT)	••••
C	ompetence Measures Summary (CMS)	••••
Motor S	peech Measures and Summaries	••••
	peech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: he Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	
	hildhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: he Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	••••
	hildhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: he Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	
Summar	y Speech and Motor Speech Classifications	••••
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{j}}$	peech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	••••
ences		

Reference Data for Children with Idiopathic Speech Delay With and Without Concurrent Speech Motor Delay

Technical Report No. 26

Lawrence D. Shriberg

Thomas F. Campbell

Heather L. Mabie

Jenny H. McGlothlin

May, 2019

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC000496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and in part by a core grant to the Waisman Center from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (U54 HD090256).

Part I. Background	6
The Phonology Project	6
Phonology Project Technical Reports	6
The Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS)	7
Reference Databases, Standardization Criteria, and SDCS Classification	9
Abbreviations	11
Part II. Speech and Motor Speech Measures and Summaries Data for 391 Participal Idiopathic Speech Delay With and Without Concurrent Speech Motor Delay	
Speech Measures and Summaries	14
Percentage Consonants Correct (PCC)	15
Intelligibility Index (II) and Ordinal Intelligibility Index (OII)	17
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP)	19
Speech Competence Index (SCI)	21
Competence Measures Summary (CMS)/Syllable Repetition Task (SR	T)25
Motor Speech Measures and Summaries	27
Speech Motor Delay (SMD) Measure: The Precision-Stability Index (PSI)	28
Childhood Dysarthria (CD) Measure: The Dysarthria Index (DI) and Dysarthria Subtype Indices (DSI)	32
Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) Measure: The Pause Marker (PM) and Pause Marker Index (PMI)	38
Speech Disorders Classification System Summary (SDCSS)	40

Part III. Speech Analyses Comparisons for 391 Participants with Idiopathic Speec	
With and Without Concurrent Speech Motor Delay	42
77 170° 171 A 1	42
Vowel/Diphthong Analyses	
Vowel/Diphthong Analyses_Phonemes	44
Consonant Analyses	50
Percentage of Consonants Correct	
Percentage of Consonants Correct-Split	
Vowel & Consonant Analyses	59
Structural Statistics	
Part IV. Ten Linguistic Domains Profiles for 391 Participants with Idiopathic Spec	
With and Without Concurrent Speech Motor Delay	62
Vowel Profiles	63
Profile 1: Percentage of Vowels Correct	
Profile 2: Percentage of Vowels Correct-Revised	
Profile 3: Z scores	
Profile 4: Vowel Space Quadrilateral	68
Profile 5: Vowel Space Dispersion	69
Profile 6: Pairwise	70
Profile 7: Corner Vowel Formant Analysis	71
Profile 8: Vowel Duration	72
Profile 9: Z Scores	73
Profile 10: Rhotics Analyses	74
Profile 11: Pairwise Variability Index (PVI)	
Profile 12: Vowel Consistency	
Profile 13: Vowel Stability Z Scores	
Consonant Profiles	81
Profile 1: Percentage of Consonants Correct (PCC)	82
Profile 2: Percentage of Consonants Correct-Adjusted	
Profile 3: Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised	
Profile 4: PCC Compare	
Profile 5: Percentage of Consonant Features Correct (PCFC)	
Profile 6: Percentage of Consonant Features Correct-Adjusted	
Profile 7: Percentage of Consonant Features Correct-Revised	
Profile 8: PCC Relative Errors	
Profile 9: PCFC Relative Errors	
Profile 10: Consonant Substitutions	
Profile 11: Consonant Distortions	
Profile 12: Medial Consonant Substitutions & Distortions	

Profile 13: Natural Phonological Processes	97
Profile 14: Percentage of Sibilants Correct	98
Profile 15: /s,z/ Backed Substitutions	99
Profile 16: Backed Distortions	100
Profile 17: Total Sibilants Backed	102
Profile 18: Sibilant Analyses	
Profile 19: Perceptual Z Scores	
Profile 20: Acoustic Z Scores	
Profile 21: Word Type	
Profile 22: Target Consistency	
Profile 23: Error Consistency	
Profile 24: Moments	
1 Tome 24. Montenes	110
Vowel & Consonant Profiles	116
Profile 1: Percent of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words	
Profile 2: Phoneme Distortions	
Profile 3: SEMMA	
Profile 4: CS24 Syllable Segregation Pauses	123
Profile 5: Phoneme Word Stability	124
Prosody-Voice Screening Profile	125
Prosody/Voice	126
Phrasing Profiles	127
Duofile 1. Deventoge of Annuaryiete Dhysging	120
Profile 1: Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing	
Profile 2: Between/Within Word Syllable Segregation Profile 3: Prosody Phrasing	
·	
Profile 4: Phrasing Analysis	
Profile 5: Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses	
Profile 6: Inappropriate Pauses Z Scores	
Profile 7: Prosody Rate Stability	134
Rate Profiles	135
Profile 1: Percentage of Appropriate Rate	134
Profile 2: Speech Rate Analyses	
Profile 3: Speech Rate Z Score Analyses	
Profile 4: Mean Syllable Duration	
Profile 5: Speech Rate Stability Analyses	14(
Stress Profiles	141
Profile 1. Percentage of Annronriate Stress	140

Profile 2: PV 15/16 Codes	143
Profile 3: Lexical Stress	
Profile 4: Emphatic Stress	
Profile 5: Sentential Stress	
Profile 6: Stress Stability Analyses	
Loudness Profiles	148
Profile 1: Percentage of Appropriate Loudness	149
Profile 2: Speech Intensity Index	
Profile 3: Speech Intensity Analysis	151
Profile 4: Loudness Stability Analyses	152
Pitch Profiles	153
Profile 1: Percentage of Appropriate Pitch	154
Profile 2: Fundamental Frequency Index	155
Profile 3: Fundamental Frequency Analyses	156
Profile 4: Pitch Stability Analyses	157
Laryngeal Quality Profiles	158
Profile 1: Prosody Laryngeal Competence-Precision	159
Profile 2: Voice Laryngeal Quality Competence	160
Profile 3: Laryngeal Quality Analysis	161
Profile 4: Laryngeal Quality Stability Analyses	162
Resonance Quality Profiles	163
Profile 1: Resonance Quality Competence-Precision	164
Profile 2: Resonance Quality Precision	165
Profile 3: Resonance Quality Stability Analyses	166
References	167

REFERENCES (in reverse numerical order of Technical Report)

- Shriberg, L. D., Campbell, T. F., Mabie, H. L., & McGlothlin, J. H. (2019). Reference data for children with Idiopathic Speech Delay with and without concurrent Speech Motor Delay. (Tech. Rep. No. 26). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Shriberg, L. D., & Strand, E. A. (2018). Speech and motor speech characteristics of a Consensus Group of 28 Children with Childhood Apraxia of Speech. (Tech. Rep. No. 25). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Shriberg, L. D., & Mabie, H. L. (2017). Speech and motor speech assessment findings in eight complex neurodevelopmental disorders. (Tech. Rep. No. 24). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Mabie, H. L., & Shriberg, L. D. (2017). Speech and motor speech measures and reference data for the Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS). (Tech. Rep. No. 23). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Tilkens, C. M., Karlsson, H. B., Fourakis, M., Hall, S. D., Mabie, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Wilson, D. L., & Shriberg, L. D. (2017). *A diagnostic marker to discriminate Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) from Speech Delay (SD)*. (Tech. Rep. No. 22). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Mabie, H. L., Fourakis, M., Hall, S. D., Karlsson, H. B., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., Wilson, D. L., & Shriberg, L. D. (2015). Conversational Speech Reference Data for Children with Speech Delay: A Database of 180 Participants, 3-to-5 years of age. (Tech. Rep. No. 21). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Scheer-Cohen, A. R., Holt, A. S., Karlsson, H. B., Mabie, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., & Shriberg, L. D. (2013). Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP): A Database of Fifty 20-to-80 year old Participants with Typical Speech. (Tech. Rep. No. 20). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- McSweeny, J. L., Fourakis, M., Hall, S. D., Karlsson, H. B., Lohmeier, H. L., Tilkens, C. M., Wilson, D. L., & Shriberg, L. D. (2012). Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP): A Database of 28 Participants, 3-to-6 years of age, with Speech Delay. (Tech. Rep. No. 19). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Potter, N. L., Hall, S., Karlsson, H. B., Fourakis, M., Lohmeier, H. L., McSweeny, J. L., Tilkens, C. M., Wilson, D. L., & Shriberg, L. D. (2012). Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP): A Database of 150 Participants

- 3-to-18 Years of Age with Typical Speech. (Tech. Rep. No. 18). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Lohmeier, H. L. & Shriberg, L. D. (2011). Reference Data for the Syllable Repetition Task (SRT). (Tech. Rep. No. 17). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison. ER: Programs to examine phonetic and phonologic evaluation records. Madison: Software Development and Distribution Center, University of Wisconsin.